

# Honour Dishonour: Jack Smith Information Including Others - Enjoy Diving Into This Rich Treasure Trove

Thu, 10/02/2008 - 00:32 — [Arthur Cristian](#)

## Accepted Draft

A draft or a bill of exchange accepted (for value) by the drawee (acceptor) by putting his/her signature and 'accepted' on its face.

## Accepting Bank

A Bank which by signing 'accepted' on a time draft accepts responsibility to pay when the draft becomes due

## Acceptance

A draft calling for payment at a future date which the drawee has agreed to pay by signing 'Accepted' on the draft. An Unconditional assent to an offer, or an assent to an offer conditioned on only minor changes that do not affect any material terms of the offer.

Please note the above are actual 'business terms' and their definitions, If you read carefully you will see that the definitions actually talk about 'Acceptance for value' by placing the word 'accepted' on the face of the demand/presentation for money. So the COLLECTING BANK must be instructed to MAKE COLLECTION on YOUR BEHALF and be given the authorization for the collection of those funds in order to ledger adjust the account reflecting a zero balance and therefore a settlement and closure of that account.

NINE TENTHS OF THE LAW – POSSESSION -As long as you are in POSSESSION of the property then you have the HIGHEST CLAIM. Remember that under the Common law the party that has got possession is 'NINE TENTHS OF THE LAW'. Now the reason that the party is in nine tenths of the law is because the party 'In-possession' has the ULTIMATE protection of the claim. So you can claim you are a 'Title holder' if you are in POSSESSION because you RETAIN the possession of the property, you PROTECT your claim on that property. So what they try to do is move into Admiralty-Maritime-Equity in order to get you off the property (or take it away from you). So if they get possession off you then presumably your claim LESSENS by the mere fact that you now don't have possession of the property. There is such a thing as a 'COMMON LAW LIEN' recorded against land; well the common law lien isn't a recording. The Common law Lien is POSSESSION. [VALUE ADDED TO PROPERTY]. As long as you can maintain POSSESSION of the property you've got a common law lien and your claim is PRIORITY and their claim is 'PENDING'. – Jack Smith

Statement on [www.aussiespeedingfines](http://www.aussiespeedingfines)

July 2008 News updates

So, we put out this challenge to ANYONE – please PROVE us wrong on ANY of the following points and please explain WHY we are wrong and WHAT is your opinion of what is correct:

1. No traffic law in Australia has been correctly enacted in the Queen's name.
2. No speed detection device being used in Australia has an NSC number which CONFIRMS that it conforms to Section 10 of the National Measurement Act 1960 (Cth).
3. The 1988 Referendum clearly decided that there was NO allowance for the establishment or continuance of local government in Australia. No local government or council has ANY right to issue ANY fines.
4. The Victorian High Court ruling *Forge v ASIC* clearly states that ALL courts MUST go back to operating in the manner that they did at Federation in 1900. Therefore, you have a RIGHT to request a Chapter III court hearing in accordance with this ruling.
5. Article 15 of the Covenant on Civil and Political Rights states that "... Nor shall a heavier penalty be imposed than the one that was applicable at the time when the criminal offence was committed" therefore court costs cannot be added to a so-called "criminal" traffic fine.

Hi All Please read this very carefully there is an excellent spiritual knowledge contained herein. This is the understanding between the Old and New Testaments debts. This should make those testamentary documents clearer and how we should in fact be heading towards and practicing New Testament Law and not Old Testament Law like we currently are. Keep this one close to your hearts!

. Now is there any different going on IN COMMERCE that is going on in the SPIRITUAL WORLD? For instance the 'Corporate Christian Churches' are still operating under the Old Testament law – commercially. They have NOT come into the New Testament law whatsoever; if they did there would be NO REASON for money. The 'Corporate Christians' are still DEEPLY into money because they're still trying to pay their accounts. Well paying their accounts is what the Old Testament priests did at the sacrifices.

The New Testament priests, basically the Melkezadik priests, ALREADY UNDERSTAND that the accounts have been settled but our Corporate Christian churches they're STILL in Old Testament Law. Everybody that is operating the economy trying to write cheques as though their still the debtor, the debtor on these accounts are in Old Testament Law – NOBODY has come into Salvation – THAT'S THE PROBLEM!

Nobody understands spiritually WHAT GOD HAS BEEN TRYING TO TEACH US ALL ALONG TO COME INTO THE PROMISED LAND.' – THE PROBLEM IS YOU ARE DYING BECAUSE YOU ARE LIVING IN THE OLD TESTAMENT AND YOU'RE STILL TRYING TO PAY THE ACCOUNT WHICH HAS BEEN PRE-PAID. The Old Testament was based on a Trust which was actually a 'Will' and the Trust was not put through probate until the death of the Testator – JOHN THE BAPTIST! When John died, the Old Testament 'Will' was probated. The New Testament is based on the NEW TESTATOR – JESUS and he died at the BEGINNING which means that the Testament was PROBATED and PRE-PAID - IT'S ALREADY DONE! That's the difference but nobody is living their spiritual life as though the Trust was pre-paid. – So everybody is acting like lunatics.' – Jack Smith.

Hi Folks, more great information for your learning. Copy and past below information and add to previous 'coupons' [299] emails.

INDORSEMENT - BLACKS LAW DICTIONARY, 7TH EDITION (Page 778) – The placing of a SIGNATURE sometimes with an additional notation on the back of a negotiable instrument (e.g. Money Order) to ‘transfer’ or guarantee the instrument or to ‘acknowledge’ PAYMENT. [Isn’t that why you NEED TO SIGN the BACK of the Payment slip/Coupon? – That’s the indorsement.]

SIGNING THE INSTRUMENT – Turn it over on the back, SIGN IT as ‘the agent for the drawer’. You better put the E.I.N number on there and you better put the DATE on there.

PUBLIC OPTIONS – Now if you would RATHER give up your ‘Private Coupon/Payment slip’- WHICH IS THE CREDIT you can go INTO THE BOX which is off the offer and provide a counter-offer of ‘Public Payments’ – You can become a DEBTOR and use a personal or corporate cheque, a money order, a bank cheque, Visa, MasterCard or Credit card and pay it in the ALTERNATIVE.

RESTRICTIVE INDORSMENTS – BLACK’S LAW DICTIONARY, 7TH EDITION (Page 778) – An indorsement that includes a condition (e.g. ‘Pay Josefina Cardoza only if she has worked 8 full hours on April 13th’) or any other language restricting further negotiation (e.g. for deposit only’) or termed a ‘collection indorsement’

REMIT – BLACK’S LAW DICTIONARY, 7TH EDITION (Page 1297)

(1) To pardon or Forgive

(3) To refer (a matter for decision) to some authority (to send back your remittance).

(5) To Transmit (as money) < Upon receiving the DEMAND LETTER, she promptly remitted the amount due.

So one of the definitions is ‘to transmit AS MONEY’ your payment slip. So that would be YOUR REMITTANCE COUPON. You TRANSMIT the coupon/Payment Slip AS MONEY! - JACK SMITH

Our Conclusion is that 'PERSON' and 'INDIVIDUAL' are terms referring to LEGAL FICTIONS, or a strawman. Both of these words are also said to be 'NATURAL-PERSONS' and as such are 'Members of the body Politic' owing allegiance to the state.

These entities are created by the state (Through the Birth Certificate) in and exist in the civil society that we call 'THE PUBLIC'. As such they are 'SUBJECT'(Slaves) to the rules established by their creators, the civil government.

Men, on the other hand are OUTSIDE of 'THE PUBLIC'. You might think of 'THE PUBLIC' as if it were a 'BOX' that contains ONLY LEGAL FICTIONS and men live outside of this box.

‘The issue is that CRIMINAL takes over when the CIVIL didn’t resolve the matter. If you can use as a demure (A different issue of law) you see the criminal is the government that comes onto an issue after some third party presumably has been damaged and then the govt just adds a criminal case. Well the ONLY way that you could SUBSTANTIVELY CAUSE the criminal case to go away is to CORRECT THE CIVIL LIABILITY which created the dishonour which gave rise to the criminal case.

So you have to DISPOSE of the substance of the civil infraction and correct that by way of SETOFF RESTITUTION, whatever it is then you have come back and accept the criminal filing because the criminal filing procedurally was there to make the government revenue, that’s why they pass all these statutes is TO MAKE REVENUE to SUSTAIN the democratic occupation army to protect the people in the land themselves and the only way the army gains REVENUE in order to stay on the land to protect the people through their money source, which is the ‘Kings court’.

So AFTER you resolve the civil issue, you’ve got to accept the criminal charges and you’ve got to be willing to PAY the criminal proceeding – A SETOFF – on the private account in order to give restitution to the government so that they can SETTLE UP & CLOSE the criminal case. So first you have to SETOFF THE CIVIL, which gets rid of the substance behind the reason that the criminal (action) is there then you have to OFFER THE COURT the SETOFF for the ‘Monetary Charges’ so that they government can build up their coffer to maintain the army to keep us under protection to cause the criminal case to go into the background. So you do both of those things and it should go away!’ – Jack Smith &&

‘UNDERSTAND this, that under CONTRACT LAW if an offer is made and the other party puts in a COUNTER-OFFER which RESOLVES the matter in another manner but nevertheless resolves the same matter then its deemed under contract law that the original offer is no longer binding and is WITHDRAWN from the proceeding and the counter-offer IS ON THE TABLE.

So remember just like in any MOTIONS or PLEADINGS is LAST IN – FIRST OUT! You have to have the last motion on the table otherwise you’re in deep doo doo because all these courts of ADMIRALTY MARITIME are courts of NON-RECORD because they HAVE NO HISTORY mentally of the whole proceedings and findings.

All they do is GO TO THE TOP DOCUMENT and they’re doing whatever is on the top document. It’s a court of NON-RECORD because THE RECORD IS WHAT’S ON TOP. So if the other party puts in a motion, you better not just object to the motion, you had better not write a document IN OPPOSITION to the motion because if you’re on their motion, on their premise under public policy then you’re screwed. You’ve got to REPLACE what they’re asking for with YOUR MOTION from the private side FOR REMEDY so that when you’re in those proceedings you’re dealing with the motion that’s on top of their motion.’ – Jack Smith

HI - This below is an excellent way to understand any presentment that comes your way, be it a fine, summons, order, any legal commercial presentment then the information below applies. Please read and memorize this. Paste into your notes for future reference. Alex, please read below - and keep in your notes. Good basic understanding here.

‘Whatever document COMES TO YOU, if it is a letter, a Motion, summons whatever, you would write across it ACCEPTED FOR VALUE – RETURNED FOR VALUE FOR SETTLEMENT & CLOSURE on proof of claim that the process that has extended so far has not settled and closed this IN FAVOUR of ‘Your client’.

You see when they send you a letter; the game is like ‘HOT POTATO’. Whatever document/letter you get, whoever HOLDS the paper is responsible/liable on that process. The idea in ‘HOT POTATO’ is that the one stuck with the potato at the end IS IN TROUBLE. You DON’T want to hold the paper because if you HOLD THE PAPER you HOLD THE DUTY to respond and make the claim right.

You see an ‘OFFER’ in contract is the one party giving you an opportunity to achieve or do something. What they are trying to do is to SOLICIT you to change from the ‘winning mode’ as a creditor into the accepted mode of being the debtor. They made you an offer by sending you the paper.

In contract law, sometimes the FAILURE TO RESPOND TIMELY IS AN ACCEPTANCE OF THAT OFFER – BY DISHONOUR. So if you hold the paper, he’s CHARGING you with being a DEBTOR. By charging he is saying, ‘I want you to voluntarily accept this role’. If you keep this paper then you have ACCEPTED THE OFFER and you have got to comply with it. If you reject the offer that’s like a dishonour so the ONLY thing that you could do is a COUNTER-OFFER. Now under Contract law it says once a party COUNTEROFFERS the original offer is REMOVED from the table. If you ‘Accept for Value’ the offer - NOT that you AGREE with it but you are ACCEPTING THE PAPERWORK OFFER but you are RETURNING IT WITH MY COUNTEROFFER WITH NEW CONDITIONS. Now because you have ‘Accepted the offer’ and counter offered, the terms of the original offer NO LONGER APPLY The terms of the counteroffer apply.– That’s contract law. – Jack Smith.

YOU DON’T FILE THE ACTUAL INSTRUMENT – You file a NOTICE of the instrument which is why you’re doing it on a UCC-1- WITHOUT AN EXHIBIT and if you do it properly on a UCC-1, with the Secretary of State in your state and you do it appropriately he’s going to TRANSFER the notice of it down to your county and its going to be a notice of the lien filed – NOT the actual instrument, which would CONVEY all your rights right back to the state again because if you give a NOTICE OF LIEN aren’t you keeping the Lien PRIVATE? - Yes which means that the Lien is ‘In-chambers’ isn’t it. If the Lien is ‘In-chambers’ your LIEN IS PROTECTED, now would you ever need to bring the Lien to the chambers to the judge if necessary but you probably don’t even have to do that. You just need to bring PUBLIC NOTICE of the ‘NOTICE OF THE LIEN’ and if nobody ever rebuts or disputes the actual Lien itself that document NEVER has to appear but if it has to appear it can appear ‘In-Chambers’ to protect your rights.’ – Jack Smith &&&

‘You can give up your UNALIENABLE RIGHTS by either succumbing to the public procedure or you can WAIVE it and give it up by NOT talking about YOUR ISSUE in the merits and turn the conversation back to THEIR ISSUES on the merits. Now when it comes to the merits of the public issue, the merits evidence has to go into the private side ‘In-Chambers’, you can never bring any evidence to the merits of the issue in public OR you have re-conveyed the substance of

your claim to the public again. So ALWAYS TALK ABOUT YOUR ISSUE IN QUESTION FORM. NEVER talk about their issue in statement or question form. ALWAYS SUBMIT your record to the PRIVATE HEARINGS OFFICER 'In-chambers' and NEVER into a public procedure, never filed with the clerk of court on a public time stamp. When it comes to procedure they're basically going to try to get you to give honour to the general jurisdiction of the court under PUBLIC POLICY without qualifying your actions to protect your rights and they're going to try to get you ACCEPT A PUBLIC BENEFIT, which would come under either their insurance policy for public protection, submit yourself to their statues and jurisdiction, ACCEPT THE BENEFIT of a public attorney/lawyer, accept the benefit of liability funding for settling the account or for setting up the bonds and if you do any of those things you've just WAIVED YOUR UNALIENABLE RIGHTS to your claim in which case the judge will ignore everything you've done both in your procedure and in your record and you will be found LIABLE under public policy in whatever the MERITS of the public claim are whether they have any value or reality to them or not. So you've got to understand these procedures.'

PAGANISM is someone who hides behind 'PERCEIVED AUTHORITY' in order to Steal and that is EXACTLY what a man does WHEN HE HAS A 'TRUST'.

He is HIDING behind the Trust. He is saying that the Trust owns his property when the TRUST IS ARTIFICIAL. If the Trust is artificial - IT DOESN'T EXIST! if it doesn't exist- guess what? it CAN'T own anything.' - Lazslo Horvath

Hi All - This one below I believe is very critical. Please keep this information below, safe where you know it will be. Especially with anyone who wants to correct the Legal Title position of the children and take away the legal title from the state. It also explains what needs to be done to bring forward your claim, this procedure is used in any commercial or legal matter, regardless as they all deal with 'claims' and 'Counterclaims'. Now you know why the state makes you 'Register' your children - so they can have legal control over them. Also pass this one on to those that are seeking the truth.

Children are NOT born with 'Applications' for Birth Certificates. Had there been an application for a Birth Certificate then that is 'Turned over to the State', the state would have PRIMA FACIA LEGAL TITLE TO THE CHILDREN and the parents have nothing but a 'User' equitable claim for possession as long as they obeyed every rule, Order and every issue of the Corporate State. The application for the Birth Certificate qualifies as BONA FIDE EVIDENCE which is introduced into the court institution and by those records, properly handled, parents MUST SHOW 'PRIVATE TITLE CLAIM' to the children in terms of Legal Title and brought a COUNTERCLAIM. None of the parents UNDERSTAND or are capable of understanding what is BEING DONE HERE so the state then can 'issue orders' to take 'Legal Custody and Control' of the children under ONE - VERY - SIMPLE- provision of law - TITLES TO THE CHILDREN HAVE BEEN TO APPEARED TO BE ABANDONED and because no-one makes a claim for the Title to the children under the 'RULE OF LAW' (Presumptions), the state escheats all abandoned property and Titles which give the state PURE AUTHORITY to gather under its wings the children, to be 'protected' under the state because they are legally abandoned by the parents when NO CLAIM IS MADE' So what we're seeing here under your PROOF OF CLAIM is if you can't show a document of 'LEGAL TITLE' you have 'No Substance', you

have 'No Standing' in any hearing in any tribunal and you will not prevail –To do what is right- not only do you have to pay your debts but to do what is right if you are going to prevail YOU HAVE GOT TO MAKE A CLAIM IN THEIR SYSTEM otherwise the 'Failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted' applies and means that you have NO CLAIM and NO STANDING. The court or Tribunal will NOT listen or look at anything you do.

So what is a claim? A claim is EVIDENCE of Legal Title. In order to have Legal Title and if the property in question (Your children) is involved in some kind of a Trust proceedings and some document Memorializing that document exists (The Birth Certificate) and if that original Document Memorializing that Trust is RECORDED/REGISTERED in the public, the public has the legal Title claim. In order for you TO ASSERT A CLAIM on that property you have to do something to REMOVE that document of Title from the public registry and replacing that with a 'Notice of Claim' Against the same property based on the fact that you can now show that you have INVERTED that Trust and taken the Trustee position away from the 'Public Government' and you RE-ASSUME the position of PRIVATE TRUSTEE over the property (Children) assuming legal Title. Jack Smith

## JUDICIAL DISTRICTS – THE GREAT SEAL

Laszlo Horvath

· UCC-1 is a FUTURE PERFORMANCE LIEN that's all it is. So you use a UCC-1 Lien you just gave yourself something to do that was impossible for you to accomplish because you can't magically transform them into a Lien and get money for it – that's magic. When you're dealing in magic you're dealing in PAGANISM. What this translates to in court is that EQUITY IS CREATED WHERE EQUITY DOES NOT EXIST.

· In EQUITY it translates to STEALING. If you take away the uniform you take away the badge then all it is, is somebody coming up to you with a gun and saying you owe me. It's called HIGHWAY ROBBERY, there's a man in a uniform, HIDING behind the uniform. It's saying that that uniform has authority – that's paganism. It's saying because he's wearing that uniform, it's ok for him to steal so therefore it OVERRIDES the Ten Commandments and therefore if he overrides the Ten Commandments he's saying that he's a god over and above the Ten Commandments therefore he is a god over and above Yahweh – that's paganism.

· PAGANISM is a THIEF who hides behind 'Perceived Authority' in order to steal' and that is exactly what a man does when he has a Trust. He is hiding behind the Trust. He is saying that the Trust owns his property when the Trust is ARTIFICIAL. If the Trust is artificial it doesn't exist. If it doesn't exist – GUESS WHAT – it can't own anything.

· Here's the REALITY OF A TRUST. When you record a Trust in the country Recorder (Council) it falls under what is called a 'Great Seal'. All of the City Seals are under the State Seals and all of the State Seals are under the Presidents seals – one great seal, that's it. You just gave ALL of your property over to the president.

· There are only two Statuses in this country – SOVEREIGN or SLAVE. The Sovereign has a great Seal, the Slaves DO NOT.

· The only thing that has to do with PRIVATE RIGHTS – ‘In-Law’ is a GREAT SEAL. There is nothing else on earth that deals with Private Rights and that is because IF YOU HAVE A RIGHT YOU DON’T HAVE A PRIVILEGE (Benefit), IF YOU HAVE A PRIVILEGE (Benefit) ,YOU DON’T HAVE A RIGHT.

· People have a GREAT RESPECT for the law and they thought it is the law. They started using these ‘CATCH PHRASES’ like ‘You need to pay your fair share’ or ‘this is a tax obligation’ and people started falling for these – IT’S A SCAM. Uniform citations are a scam.

· DRIVER LICENCES have ALL FICTIONAL INFORMATION on it – it doesn’t exist. So let’s get back to equity here. You paid for the roads with your ‘taxes’ but it is a PRIVILEGE to travel on them. So if you’re ‘PAYING’ for them, how is it a privilege to travel on them? It’s all nothing but a scam. It’s not a contract; they put it in your mind that it’s a contract, that’s what the thieves do, they’re very cunning and very good at what they do.

· They are now NOT allowing the Ten Commandments in the Court. It TELLS YOU that your ‘Judicial Districts’ have been INFILTRATED and have been changed over to BLACK MARKET COURTS. You EITHER have LAW or No LAW. Your District Court is your ‘Judicial District of 50’ under Exodus Chapter 18

· This GETTING OUT OF THE SYSTEM is nothing but an acknowledgement – that’s all it is. You’re just acknowledging what the TRUTH is. This is NOT a choice. People are misinterpreting CHOICE, this is NOT a ‘Choice’ – you’re just acknowledging WHAT IS – that’s all you’re doing.

THE TRUTH IS – THIS IS YAHWEH’S FOOTSTOOL – HE OWNS IT, NOBODY ELSE! – Laszlo Horvath – Judicial Districts & the Great Seal

‘Everything in society is DYSFUNCTIONAL on the public side and the reason that its dysfunctional is because its all BACKWARDS because what they’re trying to do is that they’re trying to CONVINCED CREDITORS that they’re DEBTORS so that they can CONTINUE TO STEAL from the creditors and get something for nothing. [Its’ all backwards THE TAIL WAGS THE DOG]

‘You may be able to use the BANKRUPTCY so you cannot be held liable for your debt and never be in debt. In the ORIGINAL LANGUAGE the words ‘DEBT’ and ‘SIN’ are the SAME WORDS.

We have commerce and we call it DEBT. In a ‘spiritual’ (theology) sense we call it SIN. We get into the Bible and we find out that we are REDEEMED from SIN, we have our salvation we have our REMEDY and in commerce we have the same thing, WE HAVE A REMEDY and the remedy is BANKRUPTCY. You do not have to go to Bankruptcy court to USE bankruptcy – we use it EVERYDAY! We use it with Federal Reserve Bank Notes. It is based upon A PROMISE, therefore a promise is payment (Tender of consideration more precisely) and a promissory note is a GOOD AS CASH.’ The reason that the TREASURY owes you and is OBLIGATED to pay the bill for you is because they took everything of substance (Legal Titles because there’s no

Gold) they took the Gold and they took the silver, they took Title to your Land and Title to your car and your Birth Certificate is Titled to your LABOUR for your Lifetime. – Jack Smith

‘What BOOKS & RECORDS you produce is voluntary depending on ‘Who the hell you think you are’. Are you a CREDITOR or a Debtor? God says in Scripture, if you want to be a SLAVE - BE A GOOD SLAVE, file your tax returns and pay the public what YOU owe AS A DEBTOR/SLAVE. If you want to be a good Sovereign file the CORRECT forms and show how you contributed to this great Nation by ‘Extending your credit’ and you deserve the have the returns as a creditor. All they will do is process your paperwork based on who you said you are to them by who you perceive yourself to be. If you’re a creditor, the (Tax) Return goes to the Creditor (Original Issue Discount – OID). If you’re a debtor they’re going to take something away from you so that they can pay the party that ACTS LIKE THE CREDITOR which is the Corporation because YOU didn’t correct the Books & Records. So how are they violating anybodies rights? You violated YOUR OWN rights when you FAILED TO UNDERSTAND who you were and file the correct documents – Make Sense? – Jack Smith &&&

[This quote is in relation to filing your tax returns either as the debtor/slave or the creditor] - Remember everything is already pre-paid so when you use legal tender, that is now a second time you have paid when you already have extended credit initially. We tend to fill out the tax return as debtors instead of creditors. The purpose of doing a tax return is to RETURN a 'RE-FUND' to the original creditor. When you don't do this you are abandoning your claim. That is why when you get a 'receipt' is says 'Tax Invoice' on the receipt - Yes? You therefore have the option by filing the correct forms either monthly or quarterely to the tax dept (ATO) to now claim back those funds, as a creditor by filing the correct paperwork because you credit ALREADY paid for those items - Do you understand this?

HOW DO YOU PAY – The Judge will say, ‘You can pay with whatever you normally pay with’. Ok does EVERYBODY understand that? You can pay with WHATEVER you normally use to pay your bills with. What do you normally use to pay your bills with? - YOUR SIGNATURE! Doesn’t the assumption of all money START with your promise to pay? ‘Well your honour, what I normally use is MY SIGNATURE on a ‘bill of exchange’. Can I make one out for you right now? Ok now when you make that instrument it’s going to be either a three party instrument or a two party instrument. If it’s a two party instrument – it’s a promise.

If it’s a three-party instrument it’s a ‘Money Order’ but if it’s a three party instrument the third party has to be a banker with an account which should be the Secretary of Treasury UNDER the Social Security account number. Whoever has Legal Title over that account can tell the banker/the fiduciary, the Secretary of Treasury to DISPERSE the funds. If you have NOT INVERTED the Trust and taken back legal Title of that account, you can’t direct the Secretary of Treasury to disperse the accounting units out of that fund. What you ordinarily use is the ‘Money Order’ – Promise to pay!’ So in any way shape and form what you use to pay your bills comes out of that account by way of YOUR SIGNATURE or YOUR DISHONOUR with respect to not giving your signature. So all you have to do is write out the money order and SETTLE and CLOSE the account’ – Jack Smith.

MURDER – Now we're talking Murder and manslaughter in DISNEYLAND – not in REALITY. It was a pile of papers that were killed, somewhere! In the real world you won't bring the man back to life, 'they' see an INVESTMENT and a SECURITY to which there is NO LONGER any collateral so they have (now) got a COMMERCIAL LOSS otherwise the books don't balance but if we throw you in jail and can (then) SELL your prison time for 'X' number of dollars to balance the books – that'll work! – ALL CRIMES ARE COMMERCIAL'.

We can't have REALITY interfering with 'Our World'; we want those 'Elephants to Fly' because it makes us big profits. If you tell us that those elephants can't fly, then YOU'RE the dysfunctional party in Disneyland – Jack Smith &&

'ALL FICTION has to do with 'law courts', LAWYERS, your government's part in war, law enforcement agencies and armies are ALL FICTIONS, they're all propaganda. Propaganda wrapped up with a human interest story of a hero or heroine to HOOK the public into it and give you a FALSE IMPRESSION of what that particular agency or outfit is all about and it works SO WELL. Most Police are NOT bringing in a Murderer; they are out bringing in MONEY and keeping their ears open for all kinds of gossip. NOTHING is EVER what it seems' – Alan Watt – Cutting through the Matrix.

NOTHING IS LEFT TO CHANCE

'If the strawman signs it (Mortgage Deed/Warranty Deed) then the county (council) has legal Title. In WHAT CAPACITY is the strawman signing the documents? As a PUBLIC TRUSTEE! Because the property is in PUBLIC and where ever the CIDEST of the property is, is where the CIDEST OF THE TRUST is but there is another provision in Trusts. Where ever the RESIDENTS of the majority of the Trustees are is the resident of the Trust. So if you have NOT reclaimed the strawman by way of the Birth Certificate – Acceptance and Return – What is the residence of the strawman? He's in the PUBLIC STATE! So where does the Title to the property stand? In the Public State! So whether the living man or the strawman signs the documents, if the Title of the property IS IN THE STATE, it all deals with the state as CREDITOR regardless of who the hell you say you are.

Now if you do the REDEMPTION FOR THE STRAWMAN what you're really saying now is that he just PULLED the Trust from the PUBLIC because he used to reside down there when the Trust documents are in the Public but now we have INVERTED them and taken them into the PRIVATE then the strawman Trustee now resides in the private. If the Title to the property is now in the private and he signs his name as STRAWMAN – COMMA, Private Trustee, did the strawman now convey any Titles to the legal public? Not unless he re-grants. It's not in the name, it's not even in the fact that he's a Trustee, if he is the Trustee in the PRIVATE TRUST and the property is in the private Trust and he doesn't re-grant it, he now has CONTROL & AUTHORITY as the strawman Trustee in the private Trust so it FOLLOWS the Title to the property but the property can go back and forth depending on the idiocy of the Trustee who's 'Controlling' it because he can re-grant it back in a heartbeat if he isn't clever and knowledgeable about what he's doing.' - Jack Smith

'The Notary Public has the RECORDS which SUPPORTS the claim but once you get the CERTIFICATE (of Protest) you can OPERATE on that certificate and the operator has the

ability to go out and then BRING PLEADINGS. If we (the people) get a COMMERCIAL CLAIM in our favour, aren't we the BENEFICIARY of that claim. If the Notary Public issues a certificate are we the BENEFICIAL INTERESTED PARTY of the CLAIM of that certificate? You Bet! Who is it that brings 'suits' in court? A disgruntled beneficiary against a BAD-ASS TRUSTEE.

If you got a CERTIFICATE OF PROTEST in your favour – YOU'RE A BENEFICIARY! As a Beneficiary, can't you go in by a PETITION or a PLEADING even in the public court against the Public Trustees who are not granting the claim that's in the Certificate? In other words you have REVERSED on them for execution and you are a Public Beneficiary for 'we the people' and can make a CLAIM for benefits by getting the public Trustees to CORRECT their record.

IF YOU DON'T PLAY THE ROLE OF SOME ENTITY IN THEIR PUBLIC TRUST, HOW CAN THEIR PUBLIC TRUST GIVE YOU A REMEDY?

IF ASKED TO FILE A MOTION – Response – “If I file a motion am I NOT asking for a Public Benefit which would then RE-GRANT my PRIVATE Unalienable rights back to the court without adequate compensation? – Now under the constitution and the Bill of rights what do 'we the people' have a right to? PETITION THE GOVT FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCE.

And now you would have to put in a PETITION and (Agree to act the role) of an 'Aggrieved Party' in the Public Trust who has a CLAIM for a 'REDRESS OF GRIEVANCE' against the Public Trustees which have failed to address the Private record for SETTLEMENT & CLOSURE.

'You see EVERYBODY has an UNALIENABLE RIGHT to petition the government if you have a PRIVATE CLAIM and NOT a PUBLIC GRIEVANCE. And remember that a claims means that you have TITLE otherwise you are just two equitable parties FIGHTING OVER THE CRUMBS which is what most cases are in their courts'

'WHAT'S GOING ON' -

Ok, if you look in the dictionary it talks in a form of definition like the court is the regal retinue of a sovereign. What the hell does that mean? I don't know but if you talk to the Patriots do they want to claim to be sovereign? In this country did the Supreme Court say the Sovereign powers, not the Sovereign itself but the Sovereign powers RESIDE IN THE PEOPLE not in a King or the government.

So each and every living man and woman and child when he becomes of age is looked upon as HAVING Sovereign powers so any POLITICAL or COMMERCIAL problems that reach to you as a living man or woman, aren't YOU the court with regard to YOUR problems? So YOU are 'The Court' in 'THE WORLD' – IF you accept responsibility for your acts and actions. &&& 72

Now if you don't accept responsibility for your actions, you're INCOMPETENT and you're NOT 'The Court'. You're just a party and someone is appointed to take your position to resolve your issues as a Fiduciary. The Fiduciary has LEGAL POWER for your acts and actions thereby he is YOUR CREDITOR and you're the debtor because you're not accepting responsibility –

YOU ARE THE 'OWNER' of the problem, the Debtor and your Fiduciary is the Creditor of the problem. He's the guy that's responsible to SETTLE your problems.

READ THIS ONE CAREFULLY SO THAT YOU DO UNDERSTAND THIS.

'The Banks record ALL of their (Title) documents with the county (Council/Shire). The county is the LEGAL TITLE HOLDER and it's the Trustee OVER the claims of the Bank and when the Bank FILES their ORIGINAL documents – THE MORTGAGE DEED or TRUST DEED, actually the county (Council/Shire) has LEGAL TITLE over their claim since you, the HOMEOWNER ALLOWED THE FILING of YOUR original WARRANTY DEED, the county (Council or Shire) has LEGAL TITLE over your ownership and your property.

All you have is an 'EQUITABLE INTEREST', all the bank has is an 'Equitable Interest', the County (Council/shire) is the ONLY party in the suit that has a legal Title or a LEGAL CLAIM and remember in order for you to HAVE A CLAIM you MUST HAVE Legal Title of some kind.' – Jack Smith &&&

WHAT ARE TAXES? Taxes are a PRIORITY LIEN and ALL liens in ADMIRALTY are 'SECRET LIENS' or else they're PUBLIC.

So when the attorney for the County (Council-Shire) says, 'Our Lien is priority' – what is he really saying? He's really saying 'We're the Legal Title holder and taxes (Council Rates) are a priority Lien because we are Title holders and the legal Title holder is the Creditor'.

So first he's saying 'We are a creditor with a priority lien'. Why didn't he bring any evidence of his lien attached to his pleading? Because evidence of his Lien is ALREADY in the judge's chambers, NOTICE WHAT IS GOING ON HERE? For instance the county (Council-Shire) is the Trustee and the Trustee can LIEN the property with anyone he wants to lien the property with. Is the county going to TELL YOU who those Lien holders are? Not at all because if they divulge their Lien then they would be giving their rights BACK to the county, into the public instead of keeping it private.

So in order to protect their PRIVATE RIGHTS they did NOT attach evidence of their Lien to their pleading but the pleading will go nowhere unless the judge does not have NOTICE of the Lien which is in private in-chambers just like ours (Certificate of Protest = Claim= Legal Title = makes you the Lien holder= you must keep this in private also) SO GET THE PICTURE. If you think that when you CONVEY to the judge – IN PRIVATE, the evidence of your Lien, you're the only one doing that! The county's lien are private i.e. not available to the public and the REASON the court ALWAYS GRANTS the foreclosure is because the one that's REALLY MOVING THE COURT is the county because they have the priority lien. So if you're going to SETOFF the Bank for the MORTGAGE you're going to get nowhere unless you have also taken Legal Title AWAY FROM THE COUNTY (Council/Shire) and setoff the county's Secret liens by way of a SETOFF INSTRUMENT (negotiable Instrument) also otherwise you're not the priority lien holder at the Public hearing'

Let me ask you a question, if you've completed your process do you believe that YOU have a PRIORITY CLAIM? (Yes) Ok then if you do not make that PLEADING known to the Public

Side of the court, how can they sit back and say, 'Tell us about it' because you HAVE NOT ENERGIZED them to hear it. You can DIRECT the court to your ISSUE all you want but UNLESS there is a PLEADING on the PUBLIC SIDE in that court allowing that court jurisdiction to 'entertain' that issue, that judge is going to ignore you, rule against you or give you a bad answer, because the COURTS JURISDICTION was not INVOKED as to that matter.

So we're walking a line here between the SUBSTANCE of our evidence, which we DON'T want to put into the public but we have to put in a PLEADING to request the FORM of the hearing. So remember if you're the CREDITOR which is what you have got to be, and then ultimately it is UP TO YOU to deal with the substance and also the form.'

Is any decision of the Jury RELEVANT to any matters that are going on in the court? It's NOT RELEVANT AT ALL; it's all IN THE BOX. Where does the WITNESS TESTIFY? – IN A BOX! Is there any statement of truth or lie or any testimony of the witness that's relevant to the proceedings. We don't care if he lies, tells the truth or anything else and it has NO decision on the judgement. Where does the judge sit? On a raised platform BOX, is the judge in the court? No!

Who's in the court? – THE PLAINTIFF, THE DEFENDANT and their two agents. Isn't that an awful lot like the old fashion duels where you have the two principals and their alternates? The ONLY ones in the court are the parties. The reason the defendant always loses has NOTHING to do with the Jury because the Judge is going to tell them what to do.

It has NOTHING to do with the judge, he's not the opponent. It has EVERYTHING to do with the parties settling the matter. Obviously the party didn't settle the matter before it went into the public didn't he because they're there under DISPUTE. So who's going to lose? The DEFENDANT, we don't even know what the case is about, we don't even know what the facts are – It doesn't matter - ITS ALL ON THE MOON, We're in DISNEYLAND.

Let's talk about the Trial. We understand, by way of communication there are rules of structure in English. If there is something on a paper that is ENCLOSED IN A BOX, what is it's relevance to the material in the document? What is the RULE OF CONSTRUCTION, what is the relevance for WHAT'S IN THE BOX to the contents of the document? Scott shine clear please!

SCOTT The rule of thumb usually says that anything inside a box on a document is OUTSIDE of the document itself.

JACK It's NOT there! It's ONLY there for reference. It is NOT part of the contents (of the document).

SCOTT I just signed a contract back in December and inside there was a box that Jack's talking about and in that box it said that I would agree to all the terms on the back of the page. So I etched out the back of the page and I crossed out the box but also on the front of the page but everything on the front of the page I agreed to. So be real careful when you sign these contracts.

JACK Look at a courtroom, is the court room a box? Something is going on IN THE BOX right? So basically it's probably WHAT'S IN THE BOX that is relevant to everything else in the box right? The courtroom is divided into TWO BOXES, there's a BAR.

So there's the box OUTSIDE the BAR and box INSIDE the BAR. Is anything that goes on outside the box RELEVANT to the proceedings INSIDE the BAR? – NO! Inside the BAR, where does the jury sit? In the Box? Is the jury in the court? – ITS NOT THERE!

Going into their court requires A CLAIM. FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM IS FATAL. So now the question now comes up, what is a claim? A claim is LEGAL TITLE to the property that is involved in the dispute. Does the strawman have a claim? By definition the strawman has NO legal Title, a strawman has NO claim. A Strawman cannot win because having FAILED to bring a claim (Legal Title) there is NO SETOFF against the presumed Legal Title claim of the plaintiff or the prosecution therefore a 'Defendant/Strawman' can NEVER win because he can NEVER present a Legal Title and never present a claim which makes sense.

When you can finally figure out, WHAT IS HAPPENING HERE then you can go back to the gurus who are presenting the paperwork and figure out whether the paperwork is STYLED correctly for a creditor. Then you have got to say, what is the procedure to get this resolved knowing that FIRST I must settle it ON THE PRIVATE SIDE.

What happens when an attorney is appointed for the strawman? The strawman is incompetent; he is the OWNER of the problem. The attorney is the Trustee/Fiduciary creditor with LEGAL TITLE to the problem but shares no responsibility for sharing the judgement which falls on the owner of the problem, which is the strawman. If you PLAY THE ROLE of strawman in a court you are an OWNER-OPERATOR.

If you ATTACH yourself to his arguments, you are a PARTNER of the OWNER – OPERATOR. You are also, by voluntary consent a DEBTOR. If you choose to RESOLVE the issues that are affecting the living man or woman in the private sector you are the CREDITOR COURT as long as you accept the responsibility to resolve the issue. If you EVADE the responsibility of settling the issue – you are a DEBTOR!

What we're saying here is that PUBLIC NOTARIES have a COMMISSION so that the PRIVATE living people in the Republic can go to them with the evidence. They can take that evidence and put it UNDER SEAL and this is what 'this statute' had done by congress in 1850 because they knew what they were going to do to the private citizens and they still had to give them the ability for a procedural remedy that could be TRANSFERRED TO THE PUBLIC SECTOR COURTS without the private people GRANTING PERSONAM JURISDICTION to come in and argue in the Public courts.

They can bring their evidence through a JUSTICE OF THE PEACE under the 'Justice's of the peace' seal but they knew that in FORM they were going to destroy the Justices of the peace because they would only operate if the public was operating in a RE- public but it's not anymore.

So they're going to destroy the offices of the 'Justice of the peace' but THEY HAD TO GIVE THE PEOPLE A REMEDY and they established the Justices of the peace power in the Notaries.

This below is the REAL reason why you pay 'Council Rates'.

It is interesting what we're seeing in the world in regard to TITLES TO PROPERTY. The King started the 'Rule against Perpetuity' to the doctrine of what was called the 'Mortmain Acts.'

What they did is they prohibited Ecclesiastical corporations (Church Properties) from owning or holding property in perpetuity, perpetuity means without end.

That means that they (people) could not own or hold property INDEFINITELY. Under God's law, property Titles would STAY WITHIN THE FAMILY in perpetuity. It would go from the father, to the first son, to the first son, not just for the use of the male heirs but because they were the TRUSTEES for the family. So it would stay in the family UNDER the first male heir IN-PERPETUTITY.

Why would the Crown NOT LIKE THAT? They couldn't tax them because if it NEVER changed hands, it NEVER entered COMMERCE. If it never entered commerce it could never be taxed and CONTROLLED by the King. If they didn't sell and buy TITLES OF LAND today and Banks didn't put Mortgages (Dead Pledge) on them. They didn't get recorded in County Recorder's offices then they would basically be ALLODIAL (Free hold) outside the scope of the commercial system and any form of taxation. So the King does not like Titles of Land NOT changing often.'

The PURPOSE of the LETTER ROGATORY is to ENQUIRE of the second court ('This court') whether they will hold some evidentiary hearings to help resolve matters that are of issue in both jurisdictional venues.

The Notary is going to appear through its seal on its record and what is the authority and the power of that document?' It has the same powers as the Justice of the peace. Were justices of the peace court officials? You better believe it so it's ONE COURT reporting to ANOTHER COURT.

Well didn't the Notary Public GATHER the evidence in 'THE COURT'?(In the PRIVATE VENUE) And is it now conveying evidence in 'THE COURT' -Private venue TO 'THIS COURT' - Public Policy Foreign court, and saying to the people in 'THIS COURT', 'I have no authority to tell you what to do but you see reciprocity requires that you HONOUR what we do if you want me to HONOUR what you do and consequently this is the way that people have sworn to and delivered the evidence to us. We did our job over here by investigation. We're conveying this to you people and if you create an investigation and find out that any of this is not correct, please report back to us so that we can adjust our records.

The only question is did the substance of your investigation and evidence that you brought forward rebut any of the issues set-forth in our/my Notarial process?

This is a TWO-WAY street; it's not a shove it down their throat type of thing. So if the judge made THAT RULING then he has seen something WRONG in your process that you didn't see. STOP getting on your high horse ASSUMING that everytime a magistrate rules against you that it was THERE screw-up and not that they're trying to teach you, what it is to PERFECT your process even more. So DON'T take these criticisms as being a personal attack on you or don't take the criticisms necessarily as meaning that everything that you've done is wrong. Most of it is probably right and they're trying to TELL YOU COLOURABLY what it is that you did do wrong that you need to correct to get it done right ' – Jack Smith &&&

## RERUM NOVARUM (1891)

1. Just type in " RERUM NOVARUM PDF " in your google or yahoo or whatever search engine and download the Vatican/Catholic church document titled "RERUM NOVARUM" which promotes the abolition of private property rights as early as 1891.

"The whore that rides the beast" set up the Federal Reserve/Reserve Bank systems and controls all of the incorporated churches also. I am somewhat surprised this document took so long to be mentioned or surface.

Now just because the judge & the court in jurisdiction B (Fiction Land) issues a judgement and if you're smart enough, you got a RULING out of the Notary Public by way of a CERTIFICATE OF PROTEST which is the RECORD from jurisdiction A (Land of Reality) and you put it into jurisdiction B for REVIEW and for AUDIT and for CORRECTION and that's what the judge (may do) by giving HIS RULING from jurisdiction B. so you have got a RULING from jurisdiction A, you got a RULING from jurisdiction B, now if for some reason you look at his ruling in jurisdiction B and you come back and CORRECT what ever jurisdiction B told you was wrong, get more evidence of a correction and then RE-SUBMIT it to jurisdiction B you're right back in the negotiations again.

This is a TWO-WAY street; it's not a shove it down their throat type of thing. So if the judge made THAT RULING then he has seen something WRONG in your process that you didn't see. STOP getting on your high horse ASSUMING that everytime a magistrate rules against you that it was THERE screw-up and not that they're trying to teach you, what it is to PERFECT your process even more. So DON'T take these criticisms as being a personal attack on you or don't take the criticisms necessarily as meaning that everything that you've done is wrong. Most of it is probably right and they're trying to TELL YOU COLOURABLY what it is that you did do wrong that you need to correct to get it done right ' – Jack Smith &&&

'Only PARTIES or attorneys/lawyers can 'FILE' a motion and if you 'FILE' a motion, don't you have to be one of the 'Public Parties' (Fictions)? But then if you 'FILE' a motion with the court, aren't you SEEKING A BENEFIT? – YES! If you're seeking a benefit then are you subject to the jurisdiction of the court – YES! And now you have just jumped 'down' and become a Public Trustee instead of a Private Trustee.' – Jack Smith &&&

'Remember the issue is WHAT LAW FORM ARE YOU COMING FROM? if it's a Private Trust you had better have a SHOWING of a CLAIM or TITLE over on the Private Side. It is the

SOURCE of where the Title is coming from not so much the name of the party whether he's the living man, the strawman, but ALL TITLES ARE PROSECUTED BY TRUSTEES. How do you get the Title to the property on the private side when presumably the state is the priority lien holder? Well you have a PRIORITY LIEN CLAIM on property and the LIEN CLAIM is filed as a 'NOTICE OF LIEN' in the UCC filings but you're ONLY filing the NOTICE, NOT the Security that creates the Lien and since you're NOT filing or recording the actual security the claim itself has NOT been turned over to the public to make it a PUBLIC TITLE CLAIM. It STAYS PRIVATE because you did NOT REGISTER the Security; you just registered NOTICE of the security.

Now that the Title is PRIVATE, the strawman who's the private Trustee, representing that property claim – THE LIEN – is coming from the PRIVATE SIDE and you want to make sure it does NOT affect a PUBLIC INTEREST. How do you PROTECT IT? – Anytime a Public Entity throws a 'charge' on it you SET it OFF to cause it to go away so there's NO public interest on it. Then the TITLE REMAINS IN THE PRIVATE TRUST but as soon as a Public Beneficiary throws a 'charge' on it (allegation – assumption & presumption) and claims that the grantor didn't SETTLE the charge then doesn't the property now have a 'Public Interest'? Because one of the 'Beneficiaries', one of the Public Corporations is claiming that the property or the TITLE to the property is being LEVIED WITH A PUBLIC CHARGE that is unsettled, now the property has a PUBLIC CLAIM or PUBLIC INTEREST to it.' – Jack Smith

UNDERSTAND THAT YOU HAVE TO REDUCE EVERY ISSUE BETWEEN YOU AND THEM TO A CONSTITUTIONAL TORT. ITS EITHER GOING TO BE A DENIAL OF SUBSTANTIVE OR PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS OR DENIAL OF RIGHT TO PRIVATE PROPERTY.

IF YOU'RE DOING THIS RIGHT THE ONLY WAY YOU CAN DO THIS IS IF YOU HAVE A PRIVATE TITLE. THEY ARE ATTEMPTING TO SUBROGATE YOUR TITLE TO PUBLIC POLICY. SO THE ISSUE THEN IS THE CONSTITUTIONAL TORT OF PUBLIC CONFISCATION OF PRIVATE TITLE RIGHTS WITH NO JUST COMPENSATION, THAT IS PROHIBITED BY THE CONSTITUTION – IT'S CALLED THEFT.

Hi Folks, this one above is actually very very critical in the scheme of any issue being dealt with through the courts or public tribunal, especially when you are doing everything right in terms of settling and closing a case and making a party whole so that you remove their standing.

Also for those that don't know your PRIVATE TITLE refers to any 'CERTIFICATE OF PROTEST', - THAT IS NOW A PRIVATE TITLE and a PRIVATE PROPERTY CLAIM.

TIME IS, USUALLY OF THE ESSENCE - And I also want to tell you that these people are NOT against PLAYING DIRTY in terms of 'Bending Reality' to make the record APPEAR as though they have given you notice, when in fact they have CONCEALED what they're doing so that the time expires, out of a response from you.' – Jack Smith &&&

‘These people (lawyers, magistrates) will be reasonably CONSTRUCTIVE at doing nasty little ‘Procedural things’ that make it APPEAR that they have a ‘Trust Agreement’ with you because you failed to respond.’ – Jack Smith

Now the fact is that TRUST LAW GIVES YOU A REMEDY. You’ve got a Grantor, you’ve got a Trustee and you’ve got a Beneficiary and they’re going to give you PROCEDURAL REMEDIES so that they grantor and Trustee can give the Beneficiary his remedy and if the Beneficiary obtains his remedy, then the Beneficiary has NO STANDING anymore in any kind of a Tribunal to proceed.

And that’s all you need to do, is you NEED TO GIVE THE BENEFICIARY HIS ADEQUATE REMEDY, not by arguing with them, not by fighting with them but just give him the DAMN REMEDY so that he has NO STANDING ANYMORE.’ – Jack Smith.

Every structured COMMERCIAL or LEGAL ARGUMENT occurs between a BENEFICIARY, as plaintiff and a Trustee as THE DEFENDANT and most of these argument start out in the PRIVATE SECTOR when one of the Public Corporate benefits has a ‘gripe’ with one of the Private Trustees about not having received a benefit (payment) as a result of some usually written Trust agreement that needs performance. Now REMEMBER in Trust law the Trustee (you) is presumed GUILTY in ANY CHARGE OR CLAIM by a Beneficiary UNLESS the Trustee, with his records can prove himself innocent.; - Jack Smith.

‘COMMON LAW is the ‘Substantive law’ which applies to the people and if there is NO MONEY (lawful money) then we cannot have the LAW OF THE LAND as being the practicing substantive law under ‘Common law’. So if there is no lawful money then what is in reality the true common law? – TRUST LAW! They have MORPHED Trust law in place of what used to be Common law which gives you a REMEDY under the correct applications of Trust law.’ – Jack Smith.

‘The Problem is, are the people in the PRIVATE or are they in the PUBLIC? If you are in the PUBLIC you can’t ‘APPEAR AS PEOPLE’ you have got to appear as a ‘PERSON’ these days because a democracy DOES NOT recognize people or ‘living beings’ – Jack Smith &&

‘The Real issue is the JURISDICTIONAL VENUE because if you DEFINE the ‘Jurisdictional Venue’ you have defined the parties and you have defined the LAW!’ – Jack Smith

The“Either ACCEPT the ‘Private Instrument’ if you can SHOW its NO GOOD then use the Public instrument (Money Order made out for the amount of the public utility bill) but if you use the PUBLIC INSTRUMENT without showing me how the ‘Private Instrument’s’ no good, are you telling me that you can COMPEL the private Trust to GRANT all their Titles to the Public WITHOUT adequate compensation? Is that what your law is, it violates the constitution of no private property taking without just compensation and the Private Trust is trying to compel the PUBLIC TRUST but the private Trust can only operate without involuntary servitude. Do you mean if I don’t use Public Funds, which has a Public Interest to discharge the ‘water Bill’ you won’t provide me any services thereby denying me my public Trust Benefits when I have

compensated you for them by private consideration? - Jack Smith [Where is the Title -10-03-2008 – 105min]

The above is an example whereby someone had used a private instrument to setoff the 'water Bill - Utilities'. They came back and said that the bank would not accept it, however the Bank was not the drawee of the instrument so it could not prove it was no good, as long as the instrument tendered has the critical wording 'PAY TO THE ORDER OF' then it is a valid instrument. The person above then went to the utilities company and gave them a 'money order" (Public funds) to which they told the utilities people under strict 'Letter Rogatory' instructions either ACCEPT THE PRIVATE INSTRUMENT if you can show its no good, THEN use the public instrument BUT if you use the PUBLIC INSTRUMENT (money order) without SHOWING ME how the private instrument is no good. - read the rest above.

se quotes below are in relation to your CERTIFICATE OF PROTEST after they have been placed UNDER SEAL by the Notary Public. Once again important notes to understand, Please Keep filed.

‘Is there any part of a record UNDER SEAL that under the rules of evidence requires any sworn testimony to be received by this tribunal? The PURPOSE of having a RECORD UNDER SEAL is that the Public Seal brings the record into the evidence WITHOUT sworn testimony. So there is NO ARGUMENT to be required in that Public Hearing. All they have to do is acknowledge that they have received THE RECORD, under seal’ Remember all the Notary has to do is bring his SEALED RECORD. Now if the court would ever get your Notary to raise his hand and ‘swear in’ the question from the Notary should be ‘ Are you telling me that under the rules of evidence, this court is refusing to accept this record, under seal, in violation of the rules of evidence without me swearing in. – Jack Smith & & &

‘Why does the NOTARY ‘swear in’? The Notary is the agent of a Foreigner and he’s bringing a SEALED RECORD and under the rules of evidence a sealed record speaks for itself and there’s absolutely no requirement for anyone to swear in and give testimony about a sealed record and if he swears in to give testimony is he not now appearing in ‘this court’ as though they are the evidence gathering body instead of them receiving evidence.’ YOU ALWAYS HAVE TO BE ONTO THE FACT THAT THESE PEOPLE ARE GOING TO TEST YOU TO SEE IF YOU’RE WILLING TO CONVERT YOUR PRIVATE RECORD INTO THEIR PUBLIC TESTIMONY.’ We’re tying to instruct people out there that the reason it is UNDER SEAL is that the rules of evidence says that Foreign Records from public sources under seal DOES NOT have to be sworn to –Jack Smith.

Residents of Australia - Residents are those entities that have a closer association with the territory of Australia than with any other territory. Examples are: general government bodies; financial and trading enterprises and non-profit bodies producing goods or services or both within the territory of Australia; and persons whose centre of interest is considered to lie in Australia. (For a precise definition see Balance of Payments and International Investment Position, Australia: Concepts, Sources and Methods (Cat. no. 5331.0) paragraphs 2.10 to 2.11.)

Any entity which is not determined to be a resident of Australia is classified as a resident of the rest of 'the world'.

<http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/Lookup/5232.0Glossary1Sep%202007...>

We would like to frame our writings in our procedures in the nature of the Trust Structure. & &

For instance we have been calling the living man the 'Secured Party Creditor', what's wrong with calling him the 'GRANTOR IN FACT' – duh! Isn't that exactly what he is?

And as far as their proceedings are going, they're operating in their proceedings in the nature OF A TRUST and if you said, 'Comes now the Trust Grantor in Fact' what position is the Judge going to have in his eyeballs when he reads 'the Grantor in Fact' because he (The Judge) is assuming to be the grantor by way of an abandonment of the position and they understand that this is a PRIVATE TRUST anyway and consequently you have now just created a 'Material conflict' between what the Notary is going to be presenting if In fact your prima facie evidence handled everything and what the so-called magistrate or judge of the proceedings in 'this court' is doing, in fact, because he is assuming the role of the Grantor because its abandoned but all the paperwork coming from 'THE WORLD' (Private Venue) under the seal of the Notary is saying, 'GRANTOR IN FACT' of the Cestui que Trust.

Back in 1611 there was a case called the duke of Norfolk what he did is started the doctrine AGAINST perpetuities. You cannot hold property for more than one lifetime plus 21 years. Since then they have adopted a little more length of time but basically it means one lifetime. If the interest or property has not passed within one lifetime, then it cannot pass at all.

What it does is it REVERTS back to the state which is a Corporate Entity UNDER THE CROWN. EVERYTHING IS OWNED BY THE CROWN. The Crown was behind this so that they could keep property IN COMMERCE and get a REVENUE on it. They cannot collect revenue on property that is held in perpetuity because it takes it out of commerce. The Crown are doing what they are PROHIBITING everyone else from doing – that is they are PREVENTING the holding of property in perpetuity. That is where the DEED OF TRUST came from. & & & 12

Aah aint truth grand? Because the only thing left when the truth is rejected is - 'THE LIE'. You may possibly want to check with your local council and see whether they actually have a 'priority claim' or interest in your property. In other words they may actually have a 'first or second priority lien/caveat on your property'. - All under admiralty/maritime of course. Unless of course you have a Mortgage, then the bank would have a caveat/lien filed with the "Land Titles office". - So who owns your property? You see it is amazing when you don't have real lawful money to actually buy the allodial title to your property, instead you get a "Certificate OF THE TITLE". which is the liability/debtor side of the account.

Bubble # 18 – Warrants and Affidavits

Did you know when an Officer of the law tries to serve a warrant, you have the right to see the supporting affidavit and that without one, the warrant is unlawful?

You can also use that Affidavit as a foundation of your defence if you need to. Is all the information correct? Was it properly sworn and attested to? Don't ever be happy just seeing the

warrant; often times they try to play fast and loose and if you catch them in it, the process can be cancelled.

Laws alone cannot secure freedom of expression; in order that every man present his views without penalty there must be spirit of tolerance in the entire population.

Albert Einstein (1879 - 1955)

It is the spirit and not the form of law that keeps justice alive.

“Prior to 1933 when men and women were presumed under the protections of the NATURAL-LAW by PUBLIC LAW then in the courts, the SUBSTANCE and the FACTS were ALL relevant and TRUTH by way of Affidavits and other forms of evidence where the proper procedure were used in order to invoke a proper outcome from the courts.

Post 1933 we have NO PUBLIC LAW RECOGNIZING UNALIENABLE RIGHTS for men and women. In fact there are no unalienable rights for ANY participants in the court system, because the court system ONLY has as participants, TITLES OF NOBILITY and FICTIONS. Titles of Nobility and FICTIONS do NOT have unalienable rights so the court system does NOT have to grant unalienable rights to anyone that’s a participant in the court system.” – Jack Smith.

“The courts are run on COMMERCIAL CONTRACT LAW and that is has NOTHING to do with any IN-LAW procedures whatsoever. So the nature of the game is to OBTAIN a CONTRACT with your OPPONENT (Adversary) so that the court can acknowledge and RATIFY the contract and SETTLE and CLOSE the case and move on and if you understand that EVERYTHING in there is happening by way of CONTRACTS instead of trying to get the truth out then MAYBE you’ll get the truth to prevail by following the CORRECT procedure to get them to acknowledge the truth by CONTRACTUAL CONSENT.” – Jack Smith.

“Now the New Testament said that YOU are to go to your brother to reach a SETTLEMENT and if he will NOT reach a settlement, then you GO WITH A WITNESS. Well when you go to your brother you’re doing your PRIVATE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS by way of LETTER ROGATORY and requests, Affidavits of NEGATIVE AVERMENT and other forms of THIRD PARTY EVIDENCE that is brought into the proceedings. Most likely “your brother” will NOT answer you and in this case “your brother” is the administrative agency or whatever it is that you’re dealing with. If he does NOT answer you, you go to step 2, you take a WITNESS being the PUBLIC NOTARY. Why is he the witness? BECAUSE HE CAN BE SEEN BY BOTH JURISDICTIONAL VENUES! He has a SEAL which is recognized in THEIR system and he has also been authorized in his capacity as a Notary to take sworn testimony and other evidence UNDER SEAL as an EVIDENTIARY RECORD for the agency to review for acceptance and acknowledgement.” – Jack Smith.

“Now the way that you get your REMEDY then is YOU PROPOSE the CONTRACT to your opponent by these ADMINISTRATIVE PRIVATE PROCEDURES in which you are dealing with SUBSTANCE of a matter with ACTUAL evidence and you’re dealing with the FORM of the matter in Admiralty/Maritime and NOT the common law because Admiralty/Maritime is the proper administrative procedures for resolving these issues until you EXHAUST your administrative remedy, you have NO CAPACITY for any judicial review of any kind

whatsoever. Now the ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES are actually CARRIED OUT IN PRIVATE, private letter negotiations back and forth between the parties.” Jack Smith

“So government agencies MOST of the time carry on PRIVATE NEGOTIATIONS in order to ILLICIT A CONTRACT from YOU PRIOR to going into any public proceedings. All you have to do is MIRROR-IMAGE THAT! If an govt agency writes your strawman a letter, that’s a PRIVATE LETTER OF NEGOTIATION for CONTRACT – SETTLEMENT, it’s NOT public. In fact their documents which are lodged in their public records system are immune from dissemination TO the public by the PRIVACY ACT and other statutes. If you DON’T PROPOSE YOUR private CONTRACT as a measure of last resort the public will PROPOSE a contract to YOU! And the Contract will be purviewed under public policy, which is ENTIRELY different to public Law or Unalienable rights and Natural-Law. THE PARTY PROPOSING THE CONTRACT CAN ACTUALLY SET THE JURISDICTION AND VENUE and the issues raised” – Jack Smith

“So EVERYTHING going on in the court is CONTRACT LAW and they have a fantastic ability to play these little games so that basically you will acknowledge their PUBLIC OFFERING by PRIVATE ACCEPTANCE through the games they play with you and you don’t know how to negotiate CONTRACTS and you don’t know any different.

THEIR offer in PUBLIC SESSION cannot look at the SUBSTANCE, the REALITY and the TRUTH of YOUR RECORD in that PUBLIC session.[Only in the private -"In-Chambers"]” – Jack Smith.

“Everything is done by CONTRACT. It DOESN’T matter whether it’s Civil or Criminal. There is NO LAW anymore because there is NO MONEY (Of substance) and since there is NO LAW and since there is NO MONEY everything is done by CONTRACT, it’s AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES. So remember, that theoretically anything that is done COMMERCIALY in the CIVIL WORLD by any kind of “accounts”, its BASED ON A SIGNATURE.”– Jack Smith.

“The guy who THINKS he is an “EMPLOYEE” is NOT, it’s a CORPORATE FICTION, which is the “Employee”. NO living MAN OR WOMAN went to work in their system. The ALTER-EGO – THE SHADOW (Called the “Employee”) went to work in their system. So they DO NOT see living men or women and they are not employing living men and women they are employing CORPORATIONS called EMPLOYEES.” – Jack Smith.

“The INCOME TAX act is totally constitutional as it applies to Corporations; it’s totally UN-Constitutional as it applies to LIVING men and women because living men and women have UN-A-LIEN-ABLE rights to their Labour but corporations are operating under a government licence and the Income tax on the receipts of the corporation basically is NOT A direct tax like on property, it is a tax on the way of doing business through the PRIVILEGE of being an incorporated ENTITY.

In other words the government CREATED YOU as a LEGAL ENTITY and the government has a “RIGHT” to tax it’s creation in any way shape and form it wants. The government CANNOT tax people because the government did NOT create people, God created people but the

government when it creates a corporation can tax its own corporate entities into oblivion. So therefore NO MAN or WOMAN EVER worked for any corporation in the modern times, all that WORKS for the corporations are ONE-MAN LEGAL ENTITIES, FICTION-STRAWMEN.” – Jack Smith.

“No matter what you do it’s important that you DON’T do a “General appearance” (in court) because once you do a “General Appearance” YOU and the DEFENDANT (fiction) are the SAME and you’re in the public area UNDER the PUBLIC OFFERING.

Remember THE CHARGE IS A PUBLIC OFFERING and once you APPEAR to answer that public offering in the public - YOU ARE IN PRIVATE ACCEPTANCE of a PUBLIC CONTRACT and I am TELLING YOU your ONLY REMEDY is to get a PRIVATE OFFER with PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE to get you your remedy.

A Public offer with private acceptance will get you BOAT LOADS of problems because you’re UNDER PUBLIC POLICY. Understand it this way, if you have a PRIVATE OFFERING with PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE you will get a PRIVATE EXECUTION. If you have a PUBLIC OFFERING with PRIVATE ACCEPTANCE you are STUCK with a PUBLIC EXECUTION, which you’re NOT going to appreciate.” – Jack Smith.

"If you DON'T want to serve on THE JURY you have to have a reason why. Now you walk in and they always swear you in. Now if you walk in there and say, " I am Hebrew and I practice the law of God and I cannot take the Oath so I cannot SWEAR that I will accept THE LAW from you Judge"

You see to SERVE on a Jury you have to VIOLATE the ten commandments. You can't serve on a Jury and practice the ten commandments. So once you AGREE to divorce yourself from the ten commandments and that you will ACCEPT THE LAW that the Judge gives you and that you'll follow the courts instructions ( Jury instructions). The Judge will INSTRUCT you as to how the verdict will come out, then you can serve on the Jury" - George Gordon.

"Can I be compelled to violate the HIGHER LAW of the creator in order to take an Oath, which I am NOT allowed to do as it is in violation of the HIGHER LAW"

“When it comes to INCOME TAX its not really an “income tax” so much that it’s a TRUSTEE FEE based on the fact that all these CORPORATIONS are “Subsets” of the government. The government is the FIDUCIARY and basically the “Income Tax” is the TRUSTEE FEE for the government regulating the corporations. Since INCOME TAX is NOT levied on living men and women, there is NO constitutional limitation liability or Tort Whatsoever. Taxes are ONLY levied on CORPORATE ENTITIES and to the extent that LIVING PEOPLE get “tied up” in this mess based on their IGNORANCE!” – Jack Smith.

In 1933 by PUBLIC POLICY the government took away LAWFUL MONEY. By taking away Lawful money it took away the UNALIENABLE RIGHTS of living men and women to POSSESS TITLE to any goods or property of any kind whatsoever.

Since it took away the unalienable right to have “Money” so that you can SECURE Titles, the government either created a MASSIVE TORT against all living men and women which is Treason and a violation of unalienable rights OR else the government gave them a REMEDY that would make them totally whole which would NOT prejudice them in anyway shape and form in dealing with property.

So the government said, “We’re going to take away your money so therefore you don’t need any money BUT you can go along with the ILLUSION that exists ,and we’ve got a PRE-PAID account when we make any kind of demands on you, just request through the PROPER parties that they APPLY a setoff, then you’re NOT damaged because if your SETOFF is the EQUIVALENT to lawful money so that you get TITLE and CONTROL then you have NO claim against us we have no Tort against you. So just realize that you are PRE-PAID on EVERYTHING that they might demand that you do as long as you go through the proper procedure for requesting the setoff. “ – Jack Smith.

“When we get to the SIGNATURE thing, what we’re going to end up doing is “LOOKING IN THE MIRROR” and the clad solution being corded administratively can be the best possible thing going – that may be their CONFESSION. It’s one of the BEST indicators we have got. In other words when we really are on top of the thing, on the issue or whatever the area may be and all of a sudden THINGS GO SILENT on THEIR SIDE then that can be the BEST CONFIRMATION going that we are intellectually and procedurally exactly where we NEED TO BE. Their SILENCE can be the BEST ANSWER!” – Harmon Taylor

"Silence is their AGREEMENT!".

Who here today VALUES property rights the most?

THE ONE WHO HAS CONTROL OVER IT must value the property MORE than anyone else because CONTROL is just about everything and who has control of everything? – THE STATE!

You people have ALL the unalienable rights to property BUT you gave them up to people who have MORE KNOWLEDGE to what’s going on by VOLUNTARY CONTRACT and so YOU AIN’T GOT THEM NO MORE! Because you can VOLUNTARILY RELINQUISH your rights BY CONTRACT.” – Jack Smith.

“The CONCEPT is though that you have to get an AGREEMENT BY CONTRACT and you KNOW that they are NOT going to respond. So the way that you are going to get your contract is THROUGH the public Notary through that process of showing an administrative procedure to the proper parties and their FAILURE to respond and then you are going to have to lodge the final result the evidence of that contract with the PROPER party With PETITION on the PRIVATE SIDE to get the acknowledgement.” – Jack Smith.

“How do you do these CONTRACTS and stay in CONTROL? You have to understand the PROTOCOLS because the protocols are SYMBOLIC of who you are willing to become in the relationship and if you don’t understand that the protocols are symbolic of the CONTRACT that you are negotiating and then you can say one thing and then do the opposite by your protocols.

Your protocols are presumed to be YOUR ACTIONS, they speak LOUDER than your words and if you are contravening your own words and so your actions put you in a disadvantage from the contract and into the public and just like the Judge says, “All your unalienable rights are now CONTRACTED AWAY and they are now in the hands of those that VALUE them the most. Who values them the most? Those that UNDERSTAND WHAT THEY ARE and how you maintain them. Evidently you didn’t learn how to maintain and negotiate and maintain those rights!” – Jack Smith.

“The problem is that you go to the PUBLIC FOOL SYSTEM and they’re NOT about training you on CONTRACTS on the PRIVATE SIDE, they’re about getting you to ACCEPT PUBLIC OFFERINGS so that the schools of the corporations are in CONTROL.” – Jack Smith.

“So what did it cost the living man to pay the taxes? SIGNATURE,, AUTHORITY, PERMISSION and the taxes are SETOFF but the Internal Revenue Services (ATO) was going a “RETURN” was filed with a voucher (1099 form) for setoff so the TRUSTEE FEES are paid and because the returns are filed they are asking us to AUDIT THE SETOFF on the Mortgage. Can they observe the audit on the Mortgage and approve it. If they ACCEPT the taxes aren’t they verifying that the Mortgage was paid? So basically what you’re doing is getting a SECOND WITNESS to the commercial transaction and Scripture says if you haven’t got a second witness, YOU AIN’T GOT NOTHING!” – Jack Smith

“The term RESIDE technically “moves” you off the Land. A RESIDENT in INTERNATIONAL LAW is a PERSON in a country NOT his own and INHABITANTS are the same thing, it’s all deceptive.” L.B Bork.

“There is NO state citizenship right now. The only citizenship there is right now is DEFACTO. A CITIZENSHIP is a term that applies to POLITICAL RIGHTS because there are NO governments for us to participate in unless you want to be [1] An INSURGENT and you can be part of your state government or Federal, what ever the case may be or be a REBEL and vote for the Insurgent (Defacto Govt). There is NO state citizenship unless you want to participate (Vote) in an insurgent system” – L.B. Bork

Please note, that by "Participation" in "Voting", you are deemed to be in REBELLION to the original, lawful and dejure jurisdiction and therefore also an "Insurgent" by "force of law". So that you are compelled to violate the creators law in order to participate in an "ungodly system". I think it's time to tender your;

"NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF CONSENT" - to participate in a system that is in rebellion to the original and lawful, dejure government/jurisdiction. This is my official notice and DECREE as it is my right to exercise responsibility

This Quote is in regards to the continued state of emergency that we have been under since early last century.

“What they did in their minds was an “act of emergency” or cause for emergency and really it wasn’t it was actually USURPATION to put this EXTENDED COMMERCIAL SYSTEM in

place and pull people into the jurisdiction of the Federal government to have them CONTROLLED by legislation where the Federal government could NOT CONTROL them before and to give Corporations power.” – L.B Bork

“I won’t stand there ( in court) and argue, I let MY AFFIDAVITS speak for itself and if I try and ADD TO IT and START TALKING now all of a sudden I am Testifying and I am NOT interested in Testifying. MY AFFIDAVITS SPEAKS for itself, it is what it is, has ANYBODY COME FORWARD to REBUT my AFFIDAVIT? And THAT’S where I stay and I am a BROKEN RECORD and I DON’T go any further than the Defect (In the charging instrument – being the Plaintiff, who is it? And the Defendant? Who is it?) – Sam Davis.

Brian, W. - this one is especially for you! Please take note where Sam Davis says that he becomes a BROKEN RECORD! And does NOT STRAY. when you start "discussing' your case you are now "ADDING TO IT" and are testifying. - This one is a CRITICAL one to understand when proceeding with a private administrative process and your affidavit in a court or tribunal.

In reality, and it may APPEAR to you that, they’re (the magistrate/judge) is moving forward and that they DON’T care what you say and they’re moving forward and by golly if you don’t come along and PARTICIPATE in this trial (hearing) then you’re going to be washed down the river so to speak. Well that is really NOT THE CASE, they can pretend like they’re going on, they can go on, in fact they can hold their entire trial (hearing) and if they look at me and want a response from me, I am merely going to ask, “IS ANY OF THAT A RESPONSE OR REBUTTAL TO MY AFFIDAVIT?” Let them go wherever they want.” – Sam Davis

Just like Sam Davis says, you must be like a Broken Record and NOT TESTIFY and "add to it" (Your Affidavit).

#### CITIZENSHIP - YOUR NEW RELIGION AND CHURCH.

“CITIZENSHIP is one of these terms we need to be EXTRAORDINARILY aware of. It’s part of the “GOTCHA” system and it’s part of the language that’s convoluted and it’s one that we need to be FULLY aware of. If we’re talking about a U.S Citizen, we’re NO LONGER talking about a LAW OF THE LAND based system but a very DIFFERENT thing. It is one more item on the area that we look back on and sit down and now say, “oh my God what happened? It’s a LOOK ALIKE but it’s VERY, VERY different” – Harmon Taylor [Former Bar Attorney]

“I used to think that a U.S citizen was the right thing to be and have since OPTED OUT of participation IN THAT CHURCH, the CHURCH of the UNITED STATES (Inc), membership of the church of the United States is it’s UNITED STATES citizens and THAT’S NOT MY CHURCH!” – Harmon Taylor [Former Bar Attorney]

“Citizenship is to be DISTINGUISHED from another concept called NATIONALITY. Nationality is determined as a matter of law. There are two theories, one is JUS SOLI which is a Nationality DERIVED from the location of the birth (BERTH), it’s the ASSOCIATION with a BODY POLITIC it determined by the location of that body politic at the time that the child was born and the child has a nationality according to that rule, it’s a British Rule and we adopted it.

The other rule is JUS-SANGUANESS which basically, you're a national of the BLOODLINE or in other words a national of the nationality of your parents." – Harmon Taylor [Former Bar Attorney]

"Citizenship is a CRITICAL understanding; I equate that with CHURCH MEMBERSHIP. Why? Because Citizenship is PURELY DISCRETIONARY unilateral voluntary decision. So I can change my RELIGION I can change my citizenship." – Harmon Taylor [Former Bar Attorney]

"For example in the LAND issue we have got to understand what are the details by which we choose the wrong law. There are things like TIME, PLACE, CAPACITY, MEDIUM OF EXCHANGE then PROPERTY DESCRIPTION all of which can indicate that we want all of these transactions and all of these PROPERTY INTERESTS to be recognized in the CONSTITUTION FREE MARITIME COMMERCIAL ZONE. If we DON'T understand the differences then that is the path we will be lead down (The Maritime Commercial Zone in which the constitution does NOT apply.) When we DO understand that TIME MATTERS and that TIME is evidence of CHOICE OF LAW. We need to make sure that that piece of paper [contract] says WHAT WE want it to say BEFORE we sign it." – Harmon Taylor [Former Bar Attorney]

"The two fundamental choices right is the FUNNY MONEY SYSTEM which exists in the CONSTITUTION FREE MARITIME COMMERCIAL ZONE (Federal Reserve notes) under the law of the land that system is FRAUD on its face. When you choose to use Federal Reserve notes there must be another CHOICE OF LAW in which that funny money is fully legitimate. When we use these Federal Reserve Notes then if we say NOTHING MORE then it can be presumed, safely that (YOUR) CHOICE OF LAW is NOT the LAW OF THE LAND but the MARTIME law that goes along with this other "Place". So if we want the "Law of the Land" to be presumed we need to use an HONEST system of weights and measures. The minute we ESTABLISH the "Choice of law" then everything else is different. So evidence of (Your) choice of law – you use SILVER and denominate it in terms of TROY OUNCES." –Harmon Taylor [Former Bar Attorney]

"Lets go back and look at the Melkezadik priesthood; didn't scripture say that in the New Testament there was a HIGHER ORDER of Melkezadik priesthood which was different from the LEVITICAL priesthood? What did the Levitical priesthood do to the sins? DISCHARGED THEM based upon DEFACTO MONEY, the blood of animals! What does the Melkezadik priesthood do? SET THEM OFF based on the BLOOD OF CHRIST which occurred in the New Testament. It's a HIGHER ORDER OF PRIESTHOOD." – Jack Smith

"What are the LAWYERS doing with regard to DEBTS? DISCHARGING them, so the legal profession is the LEVITICAL civilian priesthood! What are the COMMERCIAL REDEMPTION people doing? SETOFF, they're the EQUIVALENT to the Melkezadik priesthood in the civilian world of TODAY. Isn't it INCREDIBLE what you can learn about the parallels that is happening in scripture and what's happening in the LEGAL-COMMERCIAL/POLITICAL world?- Jack Smith.

Here is some very practical and worthwhile knowledge to keep in your notes! - Pierino.  
“Well basically a NOTICE OF LIEN is NOT worth the paper that it is written on. It is a statement that you “owe this amount of money”. There is NO DUE PROCESS, you haven’t had your day in court, there’s NO JUDGMENT by a court of COMPETENT JURISDICTION and so basically it’s imbalanced. Now if the county recorder would take a moment and do their DUE DILIGENCE and I want to point this out that IGNORANCE IS NO EXCUSE FOR THE LAW and that’s where we are holding their FEET TO THE FIRE and because they have not done their DUE DILIGENCE and the document is STAMPED and turns it into a NEGOTIABLE SECURITY that’s where the FRAUD BEGINS and its NOT just to the RECORDING of the NOTICE OF LIEN or into the “tax Lien Index”, IT’S THE STAMPING, that’s what makes the NOTICE OF LIEN a NEGOTIABLE SECURITY.” With the county recorder now being the Fiduciary (for that instrument) she is making it something that ITS NOT.

If it was an ACTUAL lien you would have had your DUE PROCESS and you would have had your Judgement by a court of competent jurisdiction. It would be SPECIFIC and CONCISE. You would have had your say. You must stick to the DUE PROCESS ISSUE. And NOT allow them to pull the smoke and mirrors because this all boils down to DUE PROCESS.” You see when this NOTICE OF LIEN is presented to the recorders office it does NOT contain a SWORN AFFIDAVIT assessment and signed in FRONT of the recorder in front of the NOTARY as being TRUE, CORRECT and COMPLETE. THAT IS THE NECESSARY CERTIFICATION therefore it’s ONLY a NON-Negotiable, non-spendable piece of paper or instrument which means that it CANNOT be used in commerce as MONEY, after maturing unchallenged after 90 days to procure and sell property. You don’t have an actual place that you can go and REVIEW the ACTUAL lien and REFUTE it. So they are a FRAUDULENT SECURITY because they are COUNTERFEITED into an “Evidence of Debt”, they’re numbered, they’re recorded and they’re used in COMMERCE to levy.” Rae Copitka – Lighting the Fires of Liberty

On a WAGES LEVY – The same applies, it’s a SECURITIES FRAUD. The EMPLOYER is committing a SECURITIES FRAUD if they LEVY that they received from the IRS/ATO is NOT PERFECTED. It’s has to have a HAND SIGNED AFFIDAVIT being True, correct and complete Signed in front of a NOTARY.” –Rae Copitka – Lighting the Fires of Liberty.

[This information is in addition to item [045] - NOTICE OF LIEN information. Worthwhile and practical knowledge to keep in your notes. This is when someone is having their wages GARNISHED. If you understand what they need to present up front under DUE PROCESS OF LAW it will help to empower you]

"KNOWLEDGE WILL FOREVER GOVERN IGNORANCE" - James Madison

“Doesn’t the SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER tie into the BIRTH CERTIFICATE? Ok so when the Bank SETS UP the account for the MORTGAGE LOAN, what’s FINANCING (Backing it) it? THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE VALUE! It’s NOT created out of NOTHING. They are using the Birth Certificate and why are they using the Birth Certificate. Well YOU authorized it and they HOLD it and you never did anything to get it back. So they’re using it on the public side with your PRIVATE AUTHORITY. So YOU created a pure PROMISE TO PAY and they then go, “Let’s check this guy out” and they find, “Oh yeah he’s part of the TONTINE SYSTEM and

he's got a Birth Certificate REGISTERED with us which has VALUE. So we will allow for the bank to give him the VALUE that he's requesting BASED on the fact that we're HOLDING THE VALUE that's in his Birth Certificate to UNDERWRITE it. What's the difference between the bank allowing you to 'BORROW' money on a promissory note versus us coming back and CONTROLLING the Birth Certificate, Bonding the Birth Certificate as a guarantee and then requesting they SHIFT accounting ledger balances into the public based on the VALUE of the Birth Certificate to SETOFF and CLOSE the PUBLIC account. The difference in the first scenario in the bank mortgage is that WE AUTHORIZE the government to be the LEGAL TRUSTEE with the authority to issue the "yah" or "nay" with respect to the Loan. Once we have ACCEPTED the Birth Certificate, RETURNED it, SETOFF and closed it, WE have the 'LEGAL' authority to give the authority for the SETOFF because now we have INVERTED the picture again" – Jack Smith.

"The PURPOSE of the DATA INTEGRITY BOARD review meeting is NOT for the purpose of reviewing the INTEGRITY of the paperwork because that has already been decided, it is for the PURPOSE of determining the CHARACTER & STANDING of THE MAN/WOMAN who brought the perfect paperwork and was intending to use the perfect paperwork for COMMERCIAL settlement and closure. So they have to find out if the STATUS and CHARACTER of the party who is going to use the paperwork was going to be ALLOWED to use it." – Jack Smith.

"In raising your right hand and taking an OATH, what has just happened? You swore an oath and WHAT did that just do? The easiest way to put this is that you have just AUTHORIZED for them to be the creditors OVER the authority to do whatever they want to have done on that property. Remember that NO PUBLIC OFFICE does anything except by PERMISSION of the people. So HOW did RAISING MY HAND to swear an oath just end up GIVING the City, county, State, Federal Government authority over what was going to be approved or NOT approved on that property? I GAVE PERMISSION BY BEING THE DEBTOR or the TAIL instead of the HEAD. Now what is very interesting is that part of the procedure is that they will allow ANYONE that's in the neighborhood or anyone to come in and object but they NEVER swear these people in. What are you "combating in terms of a LOGICAL ARGUMENT? Just HEARSAY but because you AGREED to be the debtor and LOSER, hearsay is sufficient in terms of a logical argument in terms of anything you do So let the games in DISNEYLAND begin and remember that is all PROCEDURE, with your permission of course!" – Jack Smith.

"KNOWLEDGE WILL FOREVER GOVERN IGNORANCE" - James

Madison "You have signed as SURETY for the HYPOTHECATION to create the money through the Federal Reserve Bank. Now here's how they get JURISDICTION. In the Federal courts it says that; "Jurisdiction EXTENDS to VESSELS of WHATEVER KIND recognized as instrumentalities of navigation in MARITIME COMMERCE. In ADMIRALTY the vessel has a JURIDICAL PERSONALITY an ALMOST Corporate Capacity having not only RIGHTS but LIABILITIES, sometimes distinct from those of the owner which may be ENFORCED by process and DECREE against the vessel BINDING up all the interested in her and inclusive upon the world, for Admiralty in appropriate cases administers remedies IN REM, i.e. Against the Property as well as remedies IN-PERSONAM i.e. Against the party personally.

Where THEY GET YOU, is that you have DECLARED YOURSELF, unknowingly to be a VESSEL (of the United States/Australia) because it says a VESSEL of WHATEVER kind. “ – Bill the Freeholder

“The STATUTES AT LARGE is the instrument that they use to CREATE PUBLIC VESSELS out of ALL of us. A PUBLIC VESSEL can be better categorized as CHATTEL property.” – Bill the Freeholder

“You see the minute that you go out and HIRE a Lawyer; you have just waived your right to challenge (Jurisdiction) because the lawyer that you hired is an OFFICER of the court. So the minute that you hired him you ACQUIESCED to the jurisdiction because he’s PART of that jurisdiction. We’re all taught from a young age to “go out and hire a lawyer” and the minute you do that, you just WAIVED ALL your rights under the constitution/Common law and you have ACCEPTED the jurisdiction of that court and you CAN’T stand up and speak for yourself because you have ALSO become a WARD OF THE COURT and you have made a statement that you’re a “Person” of UNSOUND MIND and that’s how you become a ward of the court and the guy taking care of you , your lawyer becomes YOUR GUARDIAN. Remember that these guys are MAGIC at getting you to acquiesce to the jurisdiction unless you are EXTREMELY knowledgeable in what’s going on” – Bill the Freeholder

The information described below does NOT mean that one does NOT require assistance of counsel - in the current admiralty/maritime system, one needs to be "buffered" by legal counsel OTHERWISE your remedy [private administrative paperwork] gets pushed into the "public" side of the matter and you are then deemed to be one and the same entity as the Strawman entity named in the presentments and you will lose your remedy as the Strawman entity has no unalienable rights, only benefits and priveleges.

The real issue [for clarification purposes] is that you do NOT get your legal counsel to ARGUE [which creates a dishonour] n your behalf and then makes the "law of the case" public policy instead of the "real law".

What one needs to do is acquire a legal counsel [preferably by way of your exemption/prepaid account - this can be done through legal services commission in Australia - in America one who is "accused" is AUTOMATICALLY given a lawyer]. Without the assistance of legal counsel one has NOT had what the Australian Constitution refers to "the right to a fair trial/hearing" [see Dietrich v The Queen 1992].

Legal Services Commission will TEST YOU on two issues -

1. Your financial position - an "Affidavit of Impercuniosity" [without money] will take care of that issue - here the legal services commission are luring you into "testifying" that you have "income" and "assets" and it is not advisable to proceed on that basis.

2. Legal Services Commission will then look at "the merits of the case" i.e. whether or not you are arguing [which is a dishonour] or whether or not you are "going to peace" [settling and closing the matter honourably by exhausting your private administrative process.] If

you are ARGUING , this then gives Legal Services Commission the "discretion" as to whether or not they provide you with legal counsel. If you are arguing of course the "law of the case" becomes "public policy" and this is what gives Legal Services Commission the "right" to deny you assistance of legal counsel.

There may be some toing and froing [drafting and redrafting] which needs to take place before Legal Services Commission finally give up and give you that legal counsel [which is a right under the Australian Constitution and a right according to the law because without that legal counsel, if you are "the accused", you cannot get a remedy as you cannot then get your evidence [stipulation/agreement obtained through private administrative process into the proceedings i.e. through private in Chambers review]

**YOU DO NOT FILE ANYTHING INTO THE PUBLIC.**

Once you have finally obtained legal counsel, then you must draft an instruction letter outlining what it is that you want and do not want that legal counsel to do for you eg do NOT argue any of the facts, represent the undersigned only on the private side of these matters, the undersigned does NOT wish to argue nor have any other party argue on behalf of the undersigned etc etc etc

Once you complete your private administrative process you then submit your paperwork to your legal counsel to have him/her submit that into the private evidence folder [private in chambers ministerial review] and you write up a one or two page list seeking the orders that you wish that legal counsel to draw up based upon the outcome of the review of your submitted evidence - be certain to give a copy of that evidence to all other parties concerned and to the judge/magistrate - this locks the legal counsel into a position whereby he/she cannot destroy your remedy.

By default a lawyer who is HIRED by way of 'toilet paper notes' [FRNS and RESERVE BANK NOTES - Debt Liability Instruments] will AUTOMATICALLY go to the public side of the issues and place you immediately into dishonour if you do not quickly and clearly instruct them on the proper and honourable manner in which you wish for them to proceed [that is not to argue of course but to merely submit your evidence and draw up the orders sought based upon the outcome of the review of your private administrative paperwork which of course takes place "in chambers" and not in the public!]

**This is because A LAWYER/ATTORNEY MAKES MORE MONEY IF HE/SHE CAN NOT ONLY CREATE A CONTROVERSY BUT EXTEND THAT CONTROVERSY FOR AS LONG AS POSSIBLE SUCH AS IS NOW STANDARD PRACTICE IN THE FAMILY COURTS OF THE WORLD.**

As they say, ignorance of the law is no excuse so if you do not know who you are and do not know that you have to instruct your lawyer as your fiduciary to assist you to honourably settle and close the matter WITHOUT ARGUMENTS, then it is true that the legal system is not corrupt per se, but that "my people perish for a lack of knowledge".

Regards  
Claude Memma

“When you bring your own issues before the court, THAT is OUTSIDE of the issue being brought against you, as long as YOU DON’T TRAVERSE to that (THEIR) issue and you bring your own issues up, now YOU’RE the plaintiff and your issue has to be decided BEFORE the other issues are. They will try and get you to TRAVERSE ( to other issues) all the time. What you have to do is go in and create your own ISSUE. For example that they charge you for XYZ statutory provisions, but you’re NOT going to discuss XYZ statutory provisions. The issue must be kept with your RECORDS at all times So you MUST NOT TRAVERSE onto their issue Where THEIR MAGIC comes in is that they get you to come in before the court and then the judge starts asking you a BUNCH of questions and then the gets YOU to AGREE TO SOMETHING” Bill the Freeholder

“The SUBSTANCE that the government uses BEHIND their accounts is PROPERTY. It’s got to be property, it’s got to be some FORM of property that BECOMES the SUBSTANCE behind the numbers in an account. Now when it’s in THEIR system, Property is NOT soil, property is a PIECE OF PAPER that gives a TITLE to the soil. So to them property is a PIECE OF PAPER that is a DOCUMENT OF TITLE. The NATIONAL government, the state governments use the TITLE TO THE BODY because when the body is born, the body has future earnings and consequently it has CONSIDERATION or VALUE to it. So the government ATTACHES itself to it and holds onto the TITLE to the body, the “piece of paper”. Now the BIRTH CERTIFICATE is a CERTIFICATE that is issued by the government. Whoever ISSUES a CERTIFICATE is the TITLE HOLDER. The recipient of the certificate and the HOLDER of the certificate is NOT the Title holder; they’re the USER/BENEFICIARY equity partner in the transaction. So you have the LEGAL TITLE held by the one that ISSUES the certificate and you have EQUITABLE TITLE held by the one that HOLDS the (BIRTH) CERTIFICATE.” – Jack Smith.

“One of the COLOURS OF LAW is the COLOUR OF OFFICE and that refers to an act committed by a PUBLIC OFFICIAL under the APPEARANCE of authority but which EXCEEDS AUTHORITY. It’s an ‘act’ committed under ‘COLOUR OF OFFICE’ that is sometimes required to PROVE malfeasance in that office. We have LAW and then we have ‘Colour of Law’ which is counterfeit” – Rae Copitka [Lighting the Fires of Liberty]

COLOUR OF LAW is acting OUTSIDE of the LAW, using the law or legal authority as YOUR CLOAK- Definition of Colour of Law – Rae Copitka [Lighting the Fires of Liberty]

“One of the COLOURS OF LAW is the COLOUR OF OFFICE and that refers to an act committed by a PUBLIC OFFICIAL under the APPEARANCE of authority but which EXCEEDS AUTHORITY. It’s an ‘act’ committed under ‘COLOUR OF OFFICE’ that is sometimes required to PROVE malfeasance in that office. We have LAW and then we have ‘Colour of Law’ which is counterfeit. That’s why we have people like PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS because they can “legally act” under Colour of law where an official or OFFICER OF THE COURT should NOT, because they do it all the time.” – Rae Copitka [Lighting the Fires of Liberty] Please note that this demonstrates that there is a difference when the Public officer operates under "Public policy" (colour of law) as opposed to an "In-chambers" determination made to uphold your private rights. This lady also can't "see" the two sides of the

court so we head back to 'their all corrupt!'. This has been posted to demonstrate what is a good explanation of "colour of law". - Pierino.

COLOUR OF LAW is acting OUTSIDE of the LAW, using the law or legal authority as YOU CLOAK- Definition of Colour of Law – Rae Copitka [Lighting the Fires of Liberty]

“Every time we INTRODUCE ourselves, you know when they ask you at ALL public meetings, “Please state your name and Address” and we tell them:

‘WE DON’T HAVE A NAME a name depicts OWNERSHIP and were NOT owned by anyone. We are WHO WE ARE! And as far as an ADDRESS we don’t have one of those either we live in our bodies so that’s wherever we are”.

That IMMEDIATELY puts them ON NOTICE that we know our stature, we know our place in Society and it is ABOVE those who we are addressing and it is called POLITICAL jurisdiction because everything you deal with today in Society is the Corporation, you DO have a political status and you have to take on the PERSONAL jurisdiction in order to put yourself ABOVE the political because Political is what they GIVE YOU in the Corporation.

You have political, personal and subject matter jurisdiction and you HAVE TO take on the PERSONAL jurisdiction in order to put yourself ABOVE the political. The first thing that they ask you in the courts is to please state your name and address for the records. IF YOU DO, THEY’VE GOT YOU! You have ACQUIESCED. So a NAME only applies to PROPERTY and if you want to be PROPERTY, you want to be somebody’s property, somebody’s SLAVE then you can give them your name but otherwise you JUST ARE who you are.” – Rae Copitka [Lighting the Fires of Liberty]

Here's a NEW WORD for your Vocabulary and for your paperwork(Not to accuse of course!) folks!

“The point we want to make here is that WE DON’T want to sue them as a governmental entity. You don’t win when you sue against the government so we’re looking at HOLDING them PERSONALLY LIABLE and the way to do that is once you have made a government official AWARE of a crime and they FAIL to act then they are stripped of their governmental immunity and we’re giving them all the OPPORTUNITY and ALLTHE ROPE that they need to hang themselves. Once you NOTIFY them of the FRAUD and they CONTINUE to do it, it’s called FRAUD WITH SCIENTER” – Rae Copitka [Lighting the Fires of Liberty]

<http://www.answers.com/topic/scienter?cat=biz-fin>

Scienter

Previous knowledge of operative facts, frequently signifying guilty knowledge. As used in Pleadings, the term signifies that the alleged crime or Tort was done designedly or with guilty knowledge. The term is usually employed in relation to Fraud, and means a person's knowledge that he was making false representations, with intent to deceive.

The term scienter refers to a state of mind often required to hold a person legally accountable for her acts. The term often is used interchangeably with mens rea, which describes criminal intent, but scienter has a broader application because it also describes knowledge required to assign liability in many civil cases.

“If you go out to get a HOUSE MORTGAGE, there’s NO MONEY to loan anybody, but if the bank decides that you’re going to be STUPID ENOUGH and a credit risk, what the bank does it INDUCES YOU to sign a PROMISSORY NOTE and when you sign that PROMISSORY NOTE and (YOU) give the SIGNATURE on the promise TO THE BANK what you have just done is CREATED A PRIVATE DRAFT or PRIVATE NOTE. The banks INDUCE the people into writing the FIRST DRAFT which is what the PROMISSORY NOTE is, and then they receive YOUR PROMISSORY NOTE they move it along through the FEDERAL WINDOW to the Federal Reserve [Reserve Bank in Australia] which MONETIZES it and the bank which SUPPOSEDLY loans you the money DRAWS up a LIABILITY ACCOUNT providing the funds, writing the “Cheque” to whoever you’re buying the house from and then YOU as the so-called borrower from this bank, ending up paying this LIABILITY ACCOUNT over 40-80 years to bring it back into balance again.

The ISSUE is if YOU’RE THE PRIVATE PARTY why aren’t YOU taking your DRAFT or your NOTE to the FEDERAL RESERVE WINDOW to SETTLE and CLOSE your PUBLIC account? So WHY are you UPSET about the Federal Reserve (Reserve Bank/Australia) system? They put it their for YOU so if you ACT like a PRIVATE BANKER you can have access to the PUBLIC funds! DON’T FIGHT THESE PEOPLE!” – Jack Smith Perhaps we as people need to "shift" our way of thinking in regards to the way we bank and look at what it may take to become a 'private Banker". Pierino

“First of all the ULTIMATE BANKERS are ‘WE THE PEOPLE’ we ARE by definition, in THEIR definitions of BANK, because a bank is something that CREATES and LOANS money and that’s what we do on our SIGNATURES in their current system. ‘MONEY OF EXCHANGE’ (Lawful money) since 1933 is NO longer a viable means of doing commercial activities and ‘MONEY OF ACCOUNT’ (Monetized notes –debits & credits) is ALL the banks are dealing with and that’s all YOU’RE dealing with when you’re in ANY KIND of commercial activity and this ‘MONEY OF ACCOUNT’ is NOT backed by anything but PROMISES which is what a DRAFT or a BILL OF EXCHANGE is.” – Jack Smith.

“Why don’t GOD’S PEOPLE like what GOD’S SERVANTS have done to BENEFIT God’s people? Is it POSSIBLE that GOD’S people are ALWAYS fighting the Servants (of God) instead of UNDERSTANDING what God did to give the servants the ability to help his people? Let’s put the RESPONSIBILITY on God’s people and start learning and DOING IT RIGHT rather than REBELLING like unruly children against the ‘BABYSITTERS’ in the house.

WHY ARE GOD’S PEOPLE ALWAYS FIGHTING GOD’S SERVANTS?

And why would God ALLOW HIS SERVANTS to destroy his people? – Jack Smith.

[Clue- Go to Peace Both in Form & Substance]

“The question is are you going to BLAME the WATCHMAN on the wall or are you going to go BACK to scripture where the LORD said through the prophets many times that, “I am the one that has CLOSED up the EYES and CLOSED up the EARS of these MY PEOPLE because they DON’T seek me out. What’s interesting is that God CAUSES your enemies, so to speak, to come and GIVE YOU the TRUTH but the LAST PERSON that anyone will LISTEN TO is his enemy because he believes that his enemy is going to lie to him and never tell him the truth. In the HOLLYWOOD movies the most reprehensible, nasty, mean and evil guy in the story is the ONE that is going to GIVE you the TRUTH. The one that is NICE that you’re going to ACQUAINT yourself with, that is the decent guy, he is the one that is going to be LYING to you in the movies, it’s all MIRROR-IMAGE backwards “ – Jack Smith.

Just as a note of interest, the year 1999 was the "third period" of captivity for the U.S since 1789 ( 3 x 70years= 210). The last time this happened Moses was instructed by God to go to Pharaoh and ask him to 'Release my people!. God can have nations in captivity as punishment (in Equity) up to 490 years ( 7 X 70years). The UNITED NATIONS, as our Captors are now RESPONSIBLE for the debts of all the people and MUST PAY! And release the people and they are well aware, in the back of their minds, so to speak they something has to be done or God will send his punishment against the United Nations/Bankers. We ARE in that period NOW! Keep a close eye to events happening around the world, as most of you already are I presume!

“This COMMERCIAL system is set up to handle DEBTORS and as soon as they get a small percentage of the people that know how to act like CREDITORS, it’s going to be in their best interest to fall back into a Sovereign Society again. And you know the REMEDY is VERY SIMPLE because God says “You were ENSLAVED without Substance and you’re going to COME OUT without Substance” And isn’t that basically what the PRE-PAID account is doing? All it’s doing is pushing around the FORM OF A REMEDY to give you the SUBSTANCE of what you are INTENDED to have, which is the TITLE to the property that GOD HAS ENTRUSTED his children to act as TRUSTEES. Well if you’re going to ACT like a Trustee then YOU HAVE to act like a CREDITOR but if you don’t know what a Creditor is HOW can you act as a TRUSTEE for GODS PROPERTY that is entrusted to YOU? You have been HOODWINKED out of everything that God gave you to CONTROL because you DON’T know what a CREDITOR acts like because the Trustee has got to be a Creditor NOT a DEBTOR!– Jack Smith

“Why didn’t the CLERK OF THE COURT reply to the SPECIFIED REPLY ADDRESS? Why would they do that to begin with? What is the COURTS INTENT in ALTERING what they did? for weeks now we have been suggesting that there’s either a PRIVATE OFFER for PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE or a PUBLIC OFFER for PRIVATE ACCEPTANCE. So you did a PRIVATE OFFER for PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE and the clerk of court wrote back and gave a NEW OFFER which is out of the PUBLIC SIDE. The (courts) have to be VERY careful in what they’re doing so that they’re NOT ACCEDING to a PUBLIC OFFERING and then becoming the TAIL instead of the HEAD in their process and so they can’t OBJECT to it either. So you HAVE TO come back to your PRIVATE OFFERING for their PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE rather than ACCEPT the PUBLIC OFFERING which NOW puts you into the DIFFERENT venue and jurisdiction. Response would be “Proof of claim” that MY PRIVATE OFFER etc, etc” – Jack Smith.

[Private non-commercial address- without "this state"] This is in reference to when one does not use a "commercial address" in "this state" and so they "invert" YOUR OFFER so that their offering comes out of the public venue falling under Public policy again. Contract and re-contract - Offer and counter-offer.

ACCEPTING THE ROLE OF DEBTOR – If you ANSWER a question you become the DEBTOR- BY PROCEDURE. So that now PROCEDURALLY you are CONSIDERED a DEBTOR because you CHOSE to answer a question in a DEBTORS EXAM therefore you ACCEPT VOLUNTARILY the ROLE OF DEBTOR. When the question by the magistrate is OFFERED to you, he is making a PUBLIC OFFERING for your PRIVATE ACCEPTANCE. The venue and jurisdiction of that offering is under PUBLIC POLICY. So then if the proceedings CONTINUE under PUBLIC POLICY, what are we going to do with the guy's ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE that was placed into the PRIVATE portion of the court records? We're going to GET RID OF IT because its NO-LONGER relevant by the AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES.”

“When you put your PRIVATE EVIDENCE into the PUBLIC RECORD you have now just SURRENDERED your PRIVATE AGREEMENT to PUBLIC POLICY. You surrender your PRIVATE WIN and you RE-SUBMIT that under PUBLIC POLICY again so that it can proceed under the public side of the case by the AGREEMENT of the parties.

– Jack Smith.

[Moral of the Story is that you DO NOT PLACE INTO THE RECORD YOUR PRIVATE PAPERWORK - You do not take your PRIVATE PROCEDURAL EVIDENCE and read it into the public record. The magistrate will, if done correctly, acknowledge the evidence, by silence, so that it does NOT appear in the public record, because what is "in-chambers" must remain "in-chambers'.]

ACCEPTING THE ROLE OF DEBTOR – If you ANSWER a question you become the DEBTOR- BY PROCEDURE. So that now PROCEDURALLY you are CONSIDERED a DEBTOR because you CHOSE to answer a question in a DEBTORS EXAM therefore you ACCEPT VOLUNTARILY the ROLE OF DEBTOR. When the question by the magistrate is OFFERED to you, he is making a PUBLIC OFFERING for your PRIVATE ACCEPTANCE. The venue and jurisdiction of that offering is under PUBLIC POLICY. So then if the proceedings CONTINUE under PUBLIC POLICY, what are we going to do with the guy's ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE that was placed into the PRIVATE portion of the court records? We're going to GET RID OF IT because its NO-LONGER relevant by the AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES.”

“When you put your PRIVATE EVIDENCE into the PUBLIC RECORD you have now just SURRENDERED your PRIVATE AGREEMENT to PUBLIC POLICY. You surrender your PRIVATE WIN and you RE-SUBMIT that under PUBLIC POLICY again so that it can proceed under the public side of the case by the AGREEMENT of the parties.

– Jack Smith.

[Moral of the Story is that you DO NOT PLACE INTO THE RECORD YOUR PRIVATE PAPERWORK - You do not take your PRIVATE PROCEDURAL EVIDENCE and read it into

the public record. The magistrate will, if done correctly, acknowledge the evidence, by silence, so that it does NOT appear in the public record, because what is "in-chambers" must remain "in-chambers'.]

JURY OF PEERS - Forget your neighbour, call the Police, someone that doesn't know you. They DON'T know anything about you. That's what the WHOLE PROCESS of the JURY process was all about – JURY OF PEERS. He is YOUR neighbour; your PEERS are the GUYS THAT KNOW YOU! He is the guy that lives down the street or across the street or the guy that you work with, THOSE are Peers! Today you have juries made up of NON-PEERS, which is another BREACH of the Trust.” – Bill the Freeholder

“Because there is NO SUBSTANTIVE MONEY in circulation there is NO GENERAL Common law and that from now on EVERYTHING is done with NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS the NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS LAWS WILL RULE THE DECISIONS of the courts.”[Eerie Railroad Company Vs Thompkins – Summation of what the supreme court said and written by a lawyer Albert. J. Schweppe]

In other words all the courts became COLOURABLE because NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS are COLOURABLE REPRESENTATIONS of real money so the courts BECAME colourable.”- Bill the Freeholder.

[EXMAMPLE OF COLOURABLE] If you stand in front of the MIRROR is that you in the mirror?

NO it's NOT you, it's the REFLECTION of you - its the OPPOSITE of YOU! It's the REVERSE of you so it CAN'T really be YOU! and that's what colourable means. That - is WHO the courts are addressing! That which is in APPEARANCE ONLY and NOT in reality, counterfeit and having the APPEARANCE OF TRUTH.

“The Trick of course of NAMING an animal or beast or creature is put into the OLD TESTAMENT, that's what it means that Adam was allowed to name the creatures because you see in the ancient Middle East if you had the name of a creature you CONTROL the creature. The same thing with a God or deity, same idea and therefore we're all GIVEN names. You will find that in ALL official forms that you are given, they ask you for your GIVEN NAME and they'll ask for that in the court system as well, “What is your given name?” Even if you read in that book (The Bible) it TELLS YOU that only THE CREATOR knows your REAL NAME, because if you GIVE IT AWAY to others, they will have POWER OVER YOU, you're now a corporate entity and when they speak your name THEY OWN YOU! It all goes back to the ANCIENT TIMES of the Middle East and it is STILL used today and is the greatest CON ever committed because technically you can call yourself whatever you want.” – Alan Watt [Cutting through the Matrix]

“When CONGRESS passed the Constitution, remember that a CONSTITUTOR is a DEBTOR who is PASSING on his debts. And so what happened back in 1789 is that the NATIONAL government was looking for a CO-SURETY to guarantee its long term debts with the bank and the CONSTITUTION was ACTUALLY THE MORTGAGE DOCUMENT or the SECURITY

which brought the states in as CO-SURETIES for the (War) debt. Once the government had a contract with CO-SURETIES, i.e. all the states guaranteeing the 'NATIONAL DEBT', the Bankers said, "Fine, we will extend to you CREDIT to pay off your debt and you can give us a Bond because the UNDERWRITERS on the Bond are the states guaranteeing THEIR assets. Now we have SURETIES by way of a 'Constitution' (Mortgage agreement)." – Jack Smith

"There is NO Law in their system and so EVERYTHING is a CONTRACT. The question is whether the public is going to make the OFFERING and you're going to PRIVATELY ACCEPT it so that's the contract before the Tribunal or whether you're going to propose a PRIVATE CONTRACT and get the public to ACCEPT your PRIVATE CONTRACT because your private contract allows SETOFF and CLOSURE of the case. The public OFFERING that they give to you does NOT allow SETOFF, you've got to pay the penalty and that penalty is going to be extracted from you to do a discharge and so would you rather discharge it and be penalized by PUBLIC POLICY or would you rather use the PRE-PAID account by giving YOUR PRIVATE OFFERING and get the PUBLIC to accept it for SETOFF?" – Jack Smith.

John, P - Read this paragraph VERY carefully and then read it AGAIN and then read it AGAIN. What is contained herein could actually give you the ANSWER to your SETOFF solution. Your private paperwork, that includes EVERYTHING, can be used as your "Private contract offering" for your SETOFF! - Think about it!

Pierino.

"There is NOTHING Judicial even if you think you're in a judicial proceeding in the court, there is NO Judicial procedure. All they're trying to do is WRITE A CONTRACT and if you don't OFFER a PRIVATE CONTRACT (Your Administrative process) for "Public Acceptance" they'll substitute a PUBLIC OFFERING (allegations/ assumptions & presumptions) for private acceptance, which is generally what gets everyone in prison (By Agreement) because 99.9% of people in prison are there of their own FREE WILL,, they volunteered, when they ACCEPTED the PUBLIC CONTRACT and didn't offer a PRIVATE CONTRACT for PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE." – Jack Smith.

"The Messiah already paid the Full penalty for my sins and I have ACCEPTED his provisions. The Law is thus FULLY satisfied for my debts have been paid. Is this court here to collect something in order to balance the accounts to set my debts straight? My Brother the Messiah, came in and paid them. Do we have any further business here or may I now leave?"

So Toady the Sojourners and Strangers that are waxed rich are the "living Souls". How come they're waxed rich? All their debts have been paid since 1933 under HJR-192 by the Bankers. [ I am strong, strong enough to care! He's my Brother!] So we are rich, rich BEYOND BELIEF. He has NO CONCEPT that he (Man) has been given a BLESSING BEYOND anything that money could EVER buy. You DON'T need money!

To obtain Grace, the sinner must answer in such a way as this;

"Your Honour, I admit that I am a sinner. I am guilty as charged. I confess that you are just in all your ways and you may sentence me to death, however (Here comes the Defence – This is the

acceptance of the facts and the additional information, Confess all the facts as true and then give them additional facts for avoidance which gets you from EXECUTION into GRACE.] So the confession is “Yep everything that you have charged me with is true”. Here’s the AVOIDANCE.

“The Messiah already paid the FULL PENALTY for my sins and I have accepted his provision. The law is thus full satisfied for my debts have been paid. Is this court here to collect something in order to balance the accounts in order to set my debts straight? My Brother came in and paid them. Do we have any further business here now or may I now leave.

The Judge will answer; Let the record show that this man’s sins have already been paid in full therefore this court EXTENDS grace to him and releases him. He is no longer under the law, but in Grace. Go and Sin no more! (Now that’s a very simple concept!)

JACK SMITH

Here is a small transcript of Jack Smith in his Monday Night Class, this is critical to understand, it also gives YOU the remedy. Remembering that it is the strawman who has "PERSONAL INFORMATION"- only. The living soul/man or woman has what is called CONFIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL/SENSITIVE INFORMATION. You are NOT required to disclose Sensitive information as it WILL do you a commercial injury. anyway have a good read it is short but hits it right on the head, so to speak. This is about when you as a parent go and DISCLOSE your child's "name" to the government at the "birth" registration and what you are actually doing when you do this. KEY WORD- "DISCLOSE"

JACK Why does it belong to them? Who got the name? Who originally acquired the name?

PARTICIPANT the Government!

JACK The baby at birth, the parents gave him a name right?

PARTICIPANT True!

JACK And then the parents went out and DISCLOSED (confidentially Sensitive Information) that name to the government and put it on a contract for an offering and they’re the HOLDER of the name now! Once they’re the HOLDER of the name they have got rights in the name. So how did they get the name?

PARTICIPANT The parents gave it away!

JACK So now they’re the HOLDER of the name. Ok had the parents NEVER given the name to them they would have no acquired interest.

Remember in the Old Testament there was a big discussion in the land of Israel about what happened when the King decided to go out and number his people, then he would go out in the census and enroll his people. What happened when the King enrolled the people?

PARTICIPANT He taxed them!

JACK Why did he do that?

PARTICIPANT Because they gave TITLE OF THEIR NAME!

JACK Because giving OVER the name gave a RIGHT to the King as a Corporate government and for people to reacquire their freedom, what did they have to go ahead and do?

BUY IT BACK and give something to the “King” to get the RELEASE!.

“The Messiah came to planet earth once. He did that to pay the debts of his people to SET THEM FREE, however even though he has PAID the DEBTS. It is interesting that they have not held an accounting hearing involving God’s people yet. In other words, all of God’s people that have lived in the past, in the present and in the future, the LAWFUL money of account to SETTLE the debts of SIN EXISTS by the BLOOD OF THE MESSIAH and that “money” is sufficient to SETTLE & CLOSE all accounts of debt, but there has not been an accounting that has been officially done.

In Revelation it says there was be a massive “ACCOUNTING” in front of the throne where everyone comes at the same time and everyone is going to go one by one in front of the accountant and they are going to PLEAD their account as to why is should be SETOFF, settled and closed or whether there has NOT been a setoff OFFERING to settle the account.

Our Body is still a body that is MORTAL because it STILL has the attributes of SIN attached with it giving it mortality because regardless whether or not we have ACCEPTED the OFFER by our brother to use his lawful money, his blood, as a setoff to setoff our account and CLOSE it, the accounting has NOT yet been held and Until the accounting is held and the account is officially closed we CANNOT have an immortal body or a “glorified” body as the Messiah had AFTER his resurrection from the dead.

“If you are going to TENDER AN INSTRUMENT you have TENDER it ‘WITHOUT LIMITATION’. This means that you CANNOT ‘CONDITION’ your OFFER OF TENDER, you have to GIVE it without condition.- Sam Davis

“It all comes back to the same thing. If you’re going to take something in the form of an ASSET in exchange for something else, your consideration, the ONLY WAY that you can CONDITION that consideration is within COMPLETE AGREEMENT of the other party and VERY FEW people get that, they just TENDER THE INSTRUMENT. If you’re going to GIVE something, GIVE IT TO THEM, (without condition) it didn’t cost you anything.” – Sam Davis

FREE CHEESE - “People DON’T understand that along with the FREE CHEESE - (BENEFITS) come a lot of CONDITIONS. That’s right people see the FREE CHEESE but they DON’T see the TRAP. We go to a lot of trouble eliminating this contract and that contract, eliminating this nexus and that nexus but there’s ONE THING that we can’t do everyone of us still have to walk around with these FEDERAL/RESERVE Bank pieces of paper in our pocket

and as long as we're walking around with these "pieces of paper" we STILL HAVE and we are STILL PARTAKING OF the 'Reciprocal Benefits" and they have the RIGHT to expect something in return." – Sam Davis

"The ASSUMED beneficial privilege that we all partake in by using Federal Reserve Notes and the reciprocal or assumed reciprocal OBLIGATION of THAT USE – INDENTURES US to. This is the ENTIRE PREMISE that the government works on. They EXTEND a BENEFIT and they WAIT for us to PARTAKE of that Benefit and now WE OWE THEM reciprocation. The way that the government works is with CONTRACTS OF ADHESION and we spend out entire life NOT understanding these and not even knowing that they really exist until really start to analyze it and look at it " – Sam Davis

WHAT INCOME MEANS –

Income DOES NOT mean ALL that comes in, it DOES NOT mean money, it DOES NOT mean pay, it DOES NOT mean earnings, it DOES NOT mean economic activity it DOES NOT mean ANY of those things

What INCOME means within the context of the IRS(ATO) is the BENEFIT from the exercise of

(Free Cheese) of a Federal privilege or USE OF FEDERAL PROPERTY (Reserve Bank Notes) which is measured by the "DOLLARS" that proceed from that exercise, that's it that's what it means. The Federal government has a right to TAKE A PIECE OF THE ACTION when IT'S STUFF (Paper money) is used to "make money" and when you think of it, it makes PERFECT sense." – Peter Hendrickson –[From Cracking the Code]

The more 'FREE CHEESE' (FRNS) you are after, the MORE RIGHT the govt have a right to "take a piece of that action."

"So you see the LEGAL system is a DERIVATION from the SONS OF CAIN. Because they try to get you AWAY from what the CREATOR WANTS YOU TO DO which is to SETTLE ALL MATTERS in HARMONY through LOVE to bring PEACE instead of WARFARE! "- Jack Smith.

"So you see the LEGAL system is a DERIVATION from the SONS OF CAIN. Because they try to get you AWAY from what the CREATOR WANTS YOU TO DO which is to SETTLE ALL MATTERS in HARMONY through LOVE to bring PEACE instead of WARFARE! "- Jack Smith.

"So IN REALITY since you cannot deal with anything IN-LAW because there's NOTHING TO EXECUTE a conviction civilly or criminally, HOW are all the courts PUNISHING PEOPLE? CONTEMPT! They DON'T have the POWER OF EXECUTION they have the POWER OF CONTEMPT! You're in CONTEMPT OF YOUR CONTRACT because everything is a CONTRACT. So now how the hell are you in CONTEMPT of your contract? You have a SIGNATURE on a National contract [E.g Drivers license] and instead of coming in there and SETTLING it by the SETOFF, he's going to walk in like CAIN, in Genesis 4 and he's going to

go ARGUE! What is he in CONTEMPT OF? FAILURE TO FULFIL HIS CONTRACT. What does it take to SETTLE IT?

ACCEPT IT; use the pre-paid account to settle it and STOP GOING TO WAR! It takes the MENTAL ATTITUDE of GOING TO PEACE instead of going to WARFARE against these people” – Jack Smith.

This concept of 'Going to Peace" can't be highlighted enough! As it has been demonstrated that talking about going to peace is easily spoken, but in reality and in practice it seems more difficult to achieve for some!

"When the Student is READY, the teacher will come!"  
Sam Davis

“That’s what we STILL DO today, we are STILL registered today. Everything we do is to do with REGISTRATION. Well the first thing that government does is NUMBER ITS PEOPLE because they have to know where their so-called PROPERTY is. My take on all this is that when they NUMBER you or when they REGISTER you, they’ve created another entity to do so and in that CREATION of the other entity LIES THE REMEDY and just as this creation is a STRAWMAN. Christ was in fact the strawman; Christ was in fact put in OUR PLACE. He was put up on the cross as the ULTIMATE OFFER. Today we either ACCEPT that offer as it was given or we reject it. Listen, JUST LISTEN to the COMMERCIAL ASPECT of the Bible and then tell me that you can DENY the truth.” – Sam Davis

THERAPIST – Therapist is ‘THE- RAPIST”, they’re raping you of YOUR ability to UTILIZE your own mind to THINK clearly for yourself and it’s all done under the GUISE of Science. The “Therapist” may not necessarily be evil but the whole system is evil all they have to do is FOLLOW the evil “guidelines and Procedures”, the policies, lesson plans etc and ‘Mind-Manipulation takes place. Tell me that’s an accident, ‘The- Rapist”. You cannot think for yourself when you put YOUR TRUST in these people because you are CONVINCED that what they are doing is a ‘SCIENCE’. You know the ‘MIND-SCIENCES’, it’s a COMPLETE FRAUD – there is NO SUCH thing. The mind is an ABSTRACT concept to begin with and EVERY person is different, every person, THINKS and ACTS and RESPONDS differently. You can’t get that down to a science.- Truth Hertz

“As soon as people STOP BELIEVING in the TOOTH FAIRY and SANTA CLAUS and the EASTER BUNNY then maybe they’ll ALSO STOP believing in the FOREIGN overrun military government that is coming off as allegedly ‘Our Government’ and they’ll STOP going to that Foreign government for a REMEDY that they (The People) have the AUTHORITY and POWER internally to do.” – Jack Smith.

“DEBTORS make STATEMENTS, Creditors ASKS QUESTIONS. Creditors are there to SOLVE problems; debtors are there to ESCAPE RESPONSIBILITY. What he should have said was, “Your honour, I am here to assist the prosecution in settling this matter. Is NOT the documentation and paperwork, privately SUBMITTED to this court, by the Notary Public

SUFFICIENT to set forth a CLAIM for REMEDY for all parties? Debtors HINDER – Creditors HELP!” – Jack Smith.

“The difference between the Common Law and the Admiralty/Maritime or Commercial Law is that the Common law is the LAW OF THE LAND, it’s the PEOPLE’S LAW and it is exercised by ‘WE THE PEOPLE’. The ADMIRALTY/MARTIME Commercial Venue, STATUTORY PROVISIONS are NOT Common law, they’re ‘CORPORATE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMERCIAL LAW’ and they’re UNDER the purview of the BAR association. ALL of that is CONTRACT LAW and the BAR association was given the PURVIEW OVER CONTRACTS in this country and the Common Law is OUT OF THEIR REALM. The difference being that the Common Law is exercised by the Man or Woman ‘In-personam’, in their own person, by themselves. Now they can HIRE an Attorney/Lawyer as ‘COUNSEL’ but they can’t have the Attorney/Lawyer ‘REPRESENT’ them. In the CURRENT situation we have today is that we have been taught from early on that if ever we have a legal problem, the first thing we need to do is go down and HIRE an Attorney/Lawyer. Well that maybe true in the COMMERCIAL VENUE but in the Common Law, that’s NOT TRUE because the Common Law was so simple you didn’t need a Lawyer to OPERATE it and basically the Common Law works this way;

- Don’t injure anyone in person
- Don’t injure anyone and their property
- Don’t create a nuisance and
- Don’t Breach the peace

As long as you FOLLOW those 4 things you have committed no crimes. Then IN the Common law the way it’s supposed to operate is that if there is a CAUSE OF ACTION. That ‘CAUSE OF ACTION’ is started by the INJURED PARTY, placing an AFFIDAVIT on the record. It has to be an ‘AFFIDAVIT OF FACT’ and before they do that, they better have TWO OR MORE corroborating witnesses that are willing to create an Affidavit UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY and/or they better have a lot of ‘Physical evidence’ to support their CLAIM. Then that claim is brought before a COURT OF LAW before a ‘JURY OF PEERS’ where they hold a fair and impartial Trial, by the Jury and the Jury JUDGES both the FACTS of the case as well as the LAW OF THE CASE and they decide based on the facts and evidence presented, the guilt or innocence of the defendant AND the applicability of the LAW itself, including JURY NULLIFICATION, were the powers that be don’t want ANYBODY to know that YOU have the POWER, as a juror to NULLIFY Law. That’s HOW ‘We the People’ are supposed to GOVERN OURSELVES. We are supposed to have, through the power of ‘TRIAL BY JURY’ OF PEERS whether Law is going to applicable to us or not. We have the ability to COMPLETELY ELIMINATE any Act of a legislature by doing so” – Bill the Freeholder

“The JUDGE is a member of the BAR Association; the PROSECUTOR is a member of the BAR Association, the DEFENCE Attorney, if you have one is a member of the Bar Association. So you have got everybody in the courtroom that is a member of some SECRET SOCIETY that nobody knows anything about and you’re the ONLY one standing in the courtroom that’s NOT a member of that Bar Association, so HOW could it be that, that court could NOT be BIASED and PREJUDICED against you to begin with? So we have an ENTIRE system as far as the judiciary is established that’s BIASED and PREJUDICED against the people from the very get go. So the

question is HOW do you get a FAIR and IMPARTIAL trial in a system like that? So today the 'law' operates under PRESUMPTION OF LAW” – Bill the Freeholder

‘You know if you want to RULE over people, don’t do ANYTHING but RULE their Dictionary because if you can rule the meaning of words you can CONTROL the minds of men and NOTHING is of a greater BONDAGE than your thinking. That puts YOU into bondage more than anything else and of course THAT’S where everybody is at – ‘IN BONDAGE’ but of course the bondage if you want to break it, its NOT a matter of filling out papers, Its about RELEASING YOUR MIND of the bondage of your mind because it doesn’t matter how your free, by Christ or somebody else, if you don’t change, you’re going to be BACK in bondage again” – Brother Gregory

Please take note of a very important and critical point, and that is that the Creator of 'the world' has stated in His DECLARATION in Genesis of who created 'the world' and to whom property belongs to and he also tells us "you are not to fight over these things" of the earth. TRUE TITLE therefore has NOT been given up therefore the Creator HAS a SUPERIOR claim over anyone claiming to have "control" over any so-called property and including any corporate government's claim. So why do these agencies/corporation do NOT listen to you? Well my friends it is simple because a Corporation DOES NOT have ears to listen and it DOESN'T have a voice.

“There are 4 RULES to COMMERCE and those 4 Rules are REALLY, REALLY important and those 4 Rules are;

1. That you can ONLY control that which YOU create. If you haven’t created it you can’t control it. And the word YOU applies to everyone including the Corporations and including corporate government.

2. The only TRUE TITLE is the DECLARATION from the Creator and that’s TRUE TITLE.

3. So anything that’s created can have a TITLE and the only TRUE TITLE is the DECLARATION from the CREATOR of THAT THING.

4. If you have REGISTERED something you LOSE TITLE to it. Whether it’s True Title or colourable Title, You LOSE it through the process of REGISTRATION

If you did have TRUE TITLE and then registered something, then at that point, TITLE IS LOST.

“When you go to that room called ‘Court’ what are you there as? Well have you wondered why the Judge does NOT listen to you? Well my friends a Corporation does NOT have ears to LISTEN, it DOESN’T have a voice. They are basically just toying with you. Your only thing is to SEE the Judge who is the DIVINE one as someone who is SUFFERING from CONFUSION. You have to ask yourself can a Corporation SPEAK.

It cannot, it is voiceless, it is body-less it is MINDLESS, it is SOULESS, it is POWERLESS, it is in fact LIFELESS, therefore it is NON-EXISTING, NON EXISTENT therefore ‘THE STATE’ V ‘JOHN DOE’ is here now VOID in God’s Kingdom. I am not here ‘in the state’ I am

not here in 'this court' I am here in God's Body as a LIVING BEING. We are taught to RESPOND to NAMES but these names are NON-NAMES, they are corporate names. A Corporation is bodiless; it doesn't exist so therefore it is a NON-NAME. A name is 'GIVEN' to give the ILLUSION that there is something there and that's how they continue to PERPETUATE the illusion.

A Name CANNOT speak, a name CANNOT talk. DON'T add anymore TO IT than what you see right there because EVERYTHING is just an ASSUMPTION and a PRESUMPTION. In fact it is AS IF an assumption and presumption can HAVE an assumption and presumption. So what these Souls (Magistrates) are doing are SURPRESSING the living being and what WE are doing, actively, right now is bringing FORTH the 'REAL ACTOR' – The LIVING BEING, the ONE AND ALL.”- Brother Gregory

“The PURPOSE of government is inexorably tied to the PURPOSE OF LIFE. The SUM of good government is the KNOWLEDGE OF THE PURPOSE OF LIFE and the Administration of society towards that goal. If the men who membership positions of this world do not know the OBJECTIVE OF LIFE then they are NOT capable of administering a PEACEFUL society.

If HOWEVER, they DO know, then what we see happening in the world is the DELIBERATE attempt to UNDERMINE the primary OBJECTIVE OF LIFE. Human life is meant for understanding SPIRITUAL VALUES and REAL KNOWLEDGE is KNOWING YOURSELF – WHAT YOU ARE – WHAT GOD IS and understanding the relationship and DUTY TO GOD.

It is our DUTY to understand God, ABIDE BY HIS LAWS and learn to LOVE HIM then ALL of our problems WILL be solved. When men are NOT governed by God they WILL be ruled by tyrants. The material world is built like a prison. The Spirit's soul is imprisoned in a BODY which is imprisoned in the material world. We DO NOT belong in this material world. We are SPIRIT SOULS and belong to the Spiritual world. THE SPIRIT IS PERMANENT while MATTER is temporary. Our present CULTURE would have us DE-BASE ourselves and become more and more ENTANGLED in Materialism so that the PATH to the Spiritual World is BLOCKED, for the AIM OF LIFE is to GO BACK HOME – TO GOD!” - Steven Jacobson [Exposing the deception & Strategy to manipulate your Mind]

#### INSTITUTION FOR COMMANDING TRUST, RESPECT & CONFIDENCE

“The CONSOLIDATION and OWNERSHIP of the press, Publishing, Radio, Television and Film, makes the CO-ORDINATION of PROPAGANDA possible. The means of CONTROLLING people is the ABSOLUTE CONTROL of Information MEN IN POWER often WITHHOLD information. The PRIMARY means of CONTROLLING people is the ABSOLUTE CONTROL of information. MEN IN POWER often withhold information for SELFISH ends.

They OFTEN present FALSE information as a DIVERSION for the same reason. Words can INFORM or MIS-INFORM and what people THINK can be CONTROLLED by controlling INFORMATION. It's called the 'INSTITUTION FOR COMMANDING TRUST, RESPECT & CONFIDENCE'. A School child would NOT have a reason to believe that information presented in a textbook was FALSE and so ACCEPTS it as TRUE, even though a child does NOT

understand it. This is a method used in school where there is PRESSURE to ACCEPT what is presented as True because that is EXPECTED and COMPLIANCE DETERMINES your grade marks and the child's future REPUTATION of the Information CONSTITUTES MENTAL PROGRAMMING and thus information is ACCEPTED as true WITHOUT thinking about it whenever it is presented again." – Steven Jacobson [Exposing the deception & Strategy to manipulate your Mind]

"You know ALL of us are vulnerable because all the 'SEPARATIONS' that are put before us, we have to RECOGNIZE each other as LIVING HUMAN beings that we are, that is our race, that our 'religion', that is WHO WE ARE instead of these OTHER things that we are SET-UP to be and when we realize our TRUE BEINGS then we're going to move forward and WE'LL BE - AS ONE and that's going to be POWERFUL because That is what these 'separations' are causing, our ENERGIES from coming TOGETHER in a POSITIVE and POWERFUL way. Everything that they have set up [Under this beast system] is to SEPARATE us physically because they DON'T want us FORMING GROUPS en masse because once you get those MINDS together full of POSITIVE energy then there is no telling we can go, perhaps even MOVE mountains – JOIN US in doing that!" – [We're all doing time - Radio Hour]

"The DEMONIC cannot go back home until they RID THEMSELVES of their demonic mentality and so they wish to MAKE IT IMPOSSIBLE for anyone else to RETURN HOME.

This is the ULTIMATE GOAL of psychological WARFARE, to ENTANGLE man in Materialism so that he CANNOT return HOME, to the SUPREME LORD." - Steven Jacobson [Exposing the deception & Strategy to manipulate your Mind]

"A lot of times the Judges DON'T want to give up any of their power. The prosecutor will be paid by the government; the Judge will be paid by the government. They DON'T build their careers through FAIRNESS and the dispensation of Justice. How do they build their careers? They build their careers through CONVICTIONS, when the government WINS.

When you're on a JURY, as a JUROR you are a member of a group that is the HIGHEST AUTHORITY in that courtroom and you have a perfect right to read the written law. The judge will probably say, "No, No I'm going to give you the law and you must take it as I give it to you." That is a pretty CLEAR SIGNAL that you need to be VERY CAREFUL about convicting that person.

If the law, as something, you as a juror cannot understand, using COMMON SENSE and your own SENSE OF JUSTICE, if it GRATES on your CONSCIENCE, if it gives you an UNEASY feeling, it is BEST to PROTECT THE DEFENDANT by REFUSING to convict because this is YOUR assurance that the government is getting the message that these people do NOT like 'this law' and it is also YOUR assurance that if YOU'RE on Trial for breaking a similar law, statutory law, that there will someone on YOUR jury who will have learnt about this and will REFUSE to send you to prison." –Elo Jones – [Fully informed Jury Association]

"When you work all week and you EXCHANGE your labour for 'MONEY' and you take that money and you buy yourself a piece of land, the government then steps in and says, "Well, you

may OWN your land but we are going to tax it. So we're going to steal that much of your property every year. You can own this land but you CANNOT FARM this land because part of it is for some kind of 'SET-ASIDE PROGRAM' for the 'GREATER GOOD'. Well first of all there is NO GREATER GOOD, there is ONLY INDIVIDUAL GOOD. There are ONLY individual humans, there is NO community that is not made up of individuals, there is no society that is NOT made up of individuals – ONLY INDIVIDUALS have INTRINSIC RIGHTS – That's it! – Elo Jones [Fully Informed Jury Association]

HOW YOU DETERMINE A GOOD LAW FROM A BAD LAW - There is a VERY SIMPLE test. Has ANY INDIVIDUAL been harmed by the defendant, any IDENTIFIABLE human being that has been harmed by the defendant? In other words is there a victim, an actual human being who has been harmed by this person and if there has been NO-ONE who has been harmed by this person and ALL they have done is broken another government law, of which there are way too many, then the government is HARMING this person. The Person has NOT harmed anybody. Government has NO RIGHT by the way, so you CAN'T harm government. This is the SIMPLE MEANS & TEST! If we find in FAVOUR of the plaintiff or if we find in favour of the government, will we be HARMING the rights of an INDIVIDUAL? If you would be harming the rights of an Individual YOU CANNOT find in favour of the government. – Elo Jones [Fully Informed Jury Association]

"All Politicians have POWER DAMAGED minds. Power CORRUPTS and power can damage their minds." - Elo Jones.

The ONLY reason we form government is to PROTECT our rights, It is the ONLY agency we assign to it. You know when you go to an employment agency and they help you to find a job, you ASSIGN AGENCY. When you go to a travel agency and they plan a trip and by your tickets you are ASSIGNING AGENCY to them to ACT in your behalf, that's what the world AGENCY means. We assigned when we created this government, because the people were here first remember this." – Elo Jones [Fully Informed Jury Association]

“The DEMONIC cannot go back home until they RID THEMSELVES of their demonic mentality and so they wish to MAKE IT IMPOSSIBLE for anyone else to RETURN HOME.

This is the ULTIMATE GOAL of psychological WARFARE, to ENTANGLE man in Materialism so that he CANNOT return HOME, to the SUPREME LORD.” - Steven Jacobson [Exposing the deception & Strategy to manipulate your Mind]

“A Corporation DOES NOT have a consciousness, it does NOT have a SOUL. It (a Magistrate/Judge) actually takes on a PERSONALITY (When they speak) A LIVING BEING has to provide ALL of that for a Corporation to ATTEMPT to FUNCTION at all. A Notion to 'SUE OR BE SUED' is a DECLARATION OF WAR, financially, politically, in EVERY sense of the word. To 'SUE OR BE SUED' is a mandate of War, with an 'ARTIFICIAL REFEREE' – Truth Radio

“The court, the judge, the ENTIRE artificial conceptualization does NOT exist, there ISN'T a case, there isn't an opinion and if YOU as a living human being GO BEYOND this point, then

you are ADMITTING you don't exist and from that point, if YOU CONTINUE to DISCUSS the case with the judge, then you are CREATING his artificial existence. - Truth Radio

"When the Student is ready, the teacher will come" - Sam Davis.

"If they (The Authority or Court) said 'STAKE' a claim, 'Failure to STAKE a claim', wouldn't everybody go out and STAKE a claim? – There you go, do you "Under-Stand:" these charges or do you "Stand-Under" these charges as I have read them? Do you see how the WORDS PLAY? All legal words of art. The Term 'INCLUDES and INCLUDING' do not EXCLUDE things not enumerated which are in the same general class. Without a 'STAKE' in the ground you might not have an ANCHOR to pull back to– Sam Davis

One letter ONLY has been changed, a "k" for a "T". Now would not everybody STAKE a claim first, knowing that if you "Stake" your claim i.e right to use some property and record this stake, as opposed to "State" your claim? As above you would then be placing "Your stake in the ground". All legal terms of Art and trickery.

So if you're involved in ANYTHING that appears to be something authorized by the "legislature" you're most likely acting as the "Statutory citizen" which as far as we're concerned is a DEBTOR – IN COMMERCE, then you're in trouble BUT if you have INVERTED that process through the REDEMPTION and understanding on how to do these transactions then you're OPERATING AS A CREDITOR then you're still isolated from that world and what you have done is LITERALLY helped 'that world' discharge and SETOFF it's public charges WITHOUT them having to affect you and drag you into slavery/servitude." – Jack Smith.

"You see this is what government traditionally tries to do, is to provide MORE "Legislative Benefits" to sign you up for more things to TRAP you into CONTRACTS so that you are a "Public Employee Official" representing the Strawman for Benefits and when you do that and remain on the DEBTORS SIDE of that equation then you are NOTHING more than a SLAVE." – Jack Smith.

This Quote Below is in regards to a 'Certificate of Protest' issued by a Public Notary in relation to your PRIVATE administrative procedure conducted in the private in order to honourably settle any claims in a court scenario. The reference made to "this guy" is a private man who used this private process but made a mistake of filing a motion to "strike" their motion to strike, when he did not have the standing to do so. It is a bit more lengthy than the ones usually posted, but it makes a critical point that is worth understanding, after all, is it not true that "knowledge is power!?" You may want to cut and paste this onto a word document.- enjoy, Pierino.

#### BEING CHARGED WITH A SHAM LEGAL PROCESS

- The document/s (Certificate of Protest) got FILED into the court and once it's FILED how the hell are they going to UN-FILE it, unless the document itself is a counterfeit and the document ISN'T a counterfeit because it's got the SEAL of a NOTARY PUBLIC and it's got all the documents and evidence backing it up so how is it a sham? how is it a fraud and how is it

anything else especially if they never charged you with a sham document or a counterfeit document, its with a counterfeit or ‘SHAM LEGAL PROCESS’.

WHO issues the ‘Certificate of Protest? – The Notary Public! WHO has ‘Legal Title’ to the things discussed in that document? – The Notary, because the Notary ISSUED the Certificate and the Beneficiary of that Certificate is the OWNER/OPERATOR and DEBTOR and the Notary is the CREDITOR.

WHO has the RIGHTS in the claim DISCUSSED in that ‘Certificate of Protest’? – The NOTARY! So who went into the court to put in a Motion to Strike the “Motion to Strike”? – THE DEBTOR! Someone who DID NOT have the STANDING in the claim because he’s a Beneficiary of the claim, he’s NOT the holder of the claim, the WRONG guy put in the ‘Motion to Strike’ in the court. – THAT was your ‘SHAM LEGAL PROCESS’.

Can you go in and “represent” someone else when you’re not an attorney/lawyer – NO! The Notary Public was the claimant and it WASN’T the Notary that went into court, it was “this’ guy who was NOT an attorney/lawyer, he was the BENEFICIARY of the claim. He has NO STANDING to put in the ‘Motion’ into the court. So the REAL PARTY OF INTEREST – THE NOTARY never appeared in those proceedings. But then a criminal case came out against this guy who went into court to DEFEND something that he had NO STANDING to defend and they’re charging him criminally with doing this.

But you see the opponent ISN’T interested in the criminal prosecution making the mistake, they want it to APPEAR as though the process, the document that he used is INVALID and they have got to get him to AGREE that it’s invalid by a CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENT so that the Federal civil rights court can THROW IT OUT as a deciding factual factor in a civil rights prosecution case. That’s what they’re trying to get this guy to do. So you see how far we have come in a year, year and a half of understanding this procedure? If you don’t think that this ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE is extremely POWERFUL then why are they going to such extra ordinary lengths to try and protect it with the craziest of schemes, by way of the ‘back door’ to try and convince you that you’re process is NO DAMN GOOD?

This guy was NOT aware that by bringing HIS ‘Motion’ to protect that filing that he TRULY didn’t have STANDING to do that, it SHOULD have been the Notary Public and the reason it should be the Notary Public is because once you GIVE OVER your claim to the Notary Public as a ‘third party’ that ‘INSULATES’ you from that court proceeding. No longer do they need you ‘In-personam’ there so you could be the ‘Foreign entity’ that has the “UNALIENABLE RIGHTS’ to the benefits of a PRIVATE SETOFF. If, however they made you APPEAR and you were ‘IN-PERSONAM’ jurisdiction in the Public you would be ‘ATTACHED’ to the defendant and your PRIVATE SETOFF would NO LONGER be viable. That’s exactly what happens to LIVING people, they believe that they are the beneficiary and so they want to ‘PROTECT’ the charges that are brought against the ‘STRAWMAN’ and the problem is that they get DIRECTLY INVOLVED in doing that instead of going to third parties (Notary Public) and that’s when PERSONAM jurisdiction attaches and they LOSE the WHOLE ENCHILADA” – Jack Smith.

**\*FILING YOUR JUDGMENT UNDER FOREIGN JUDGEMENTS** \*In Foreign Judgement filings you have got to give **\*WRITTEN NOTICE\*** to the opposite party, against whom the Judgement was to let them know that it's being filed.

Now the reason that you're bringing in a 'Foreign Judgement' is because it was a **\*PRIVATE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE\***, which was done outside of the Public and when it's done outside of the Public and then bringing it **\*INTO\*** the public it is **\*FOREIGN\*** from where it was adjudicated, in private, if certain conditions are there and the condition that has to be there is that it has got to be **\*ACROSS\*** international lines, under private international law and if you are acting as a **\*PRIVATE** living man or woman then you are **\*NOT\*** a member of their de-facto **\*CORPORATE STATE\***, you're crossing into the **\*DEMOCRACY\*** and that's across an 'International Barrier' and so it's a **\*FOREIGN JUDGEMENT\***. This is the **\*DIRECT\*** reason why you bring your private process, through a Notary Public because A Notary Public is bringing in the **\*PROCEDURE\*** for a 'Foreign Alien' on the land and the Foreign Alien is **\*NOT\*** appearing directly and 'generally' in the proceedings to ledger that Judgement directly. Jack Smith.\*

**\*The "Foreign Judgement" is your 'Certificate of Protest' issued by the Notary.\***

These (Court) proceedings are in Admiralty/Maritime and so everything is a **MIRROR-IMAGE** procedure wise, to what goes on in the Common law and in Common law you have the **REAL** parties of interest and you swear to tell the whole truth and nothing but the truth and **YOUR WORD IS YOUR BOND**. In Admiralty/Maritime, every entity is a **FICTION**. Every Fiction has **NO CONSCIENCE** and there is **NO WORD** being their bond. You have to put up a "Monetary Insurance Policy". Not only that there is **NO DIRECT TESTIMONY** because **A FICTION CANNOT TESTIFY** so all testimony (must) come through 'third parties. And so the issue is the guy who is the **BENEFICIARY** to the process is **FOREIGN** to the process which is **BY DESIGN** so that in personam he is **NOT** liable to the court that's hearing the case because the case is being heard through **THIRD PARTIES**. Remember (scripture says ) that if your brother will **NOT** agree with you, what do you do then (In Scripture)? **YOU TAKE WITNESSES**, and who is it that is going to go into court and **TESTIFY** on **YOUR BEHALF?** – **THE WITNESSES**, who's the Public Notary? – **THE WITNESS!**" – Jack Smith

"You could take a person that has **NO ABSOLUTE** values and beliefs and you could "mold" them to what you want and that is the **KEY** to Brain washing. So if they "throw" God out the window with the "Absolutes" and then we enter with a **HUMANIST** – man is the centre so it's a man centered view as opposed to a God centered View." – Secret History of America.

"The reason that they wanted Women's Suffrage and Women's Lib, and in order to grow out of that eventually, they wanted to be able to **TAX** the woman, to make her a **POSSESSION** of the state, the same as the man and they needed to 'SET HER FREE' from her husband so that they could place her in **BONDAGE** the same because after all, it's the 'fruit of her womb' that will **ENRICH** the state and so that's why they wanted her to be 'FREE' to become a 'BONDSERVANT' of the state and that's what she has done. She has **SOLD** her labour that

should have gone to her family, it now belongs to the ‘father of the state’ and they take her sons and daughters and they use them for their own purposes.” – Brother Gregory

“Let EVERYMAN remain subject to the HIGHER LIBERTY for there is NO LIBERTY but of God. All LIBERTY is OF GOD! Governments are NOT ordained; governments are there to PUNISH the people for having CREATED the government. God didn’t create those governments. God didn’t go down and get a 3 party Marriage contract licence to marry with the State – The people do that, and they go UNDER authority. Let everyman remain subject to the HIGHER RIGHT TO CHOOSE. [Romans 13] – Brother Gregory

“FACILITATOR – means pre-arranged, indoctrination. STAKEHOLDER – that means that nobody owns anything, everybody owns everything, CONSENSUS – that means nobody believes in everything but everybody agrees on what they’re supposed to agree into. You see FREE ENTERPRISE derives from private action that SECURES individual LIBERTY. Government PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS operate on a CENTRALIZED regulatory authority and implement a system of FAVOURITE PRIVILEGE. Large scale corruption FOLLOWS once the checks and balances that are INHERENT to a system of private property vanish. You see justice is NO LONGER being applied equally.” – Freedom21 Santa Cruz - Radio Liberty.

“There is an INHERENT CONFRONTATIONAL relationship between the family and the state. The family is the MOST IMPORTANT check of Government power. (The Family) creates a private sphere of life that is OFF LIMITS to the government and therefore the family and government sooner or later would be IN CONFRONTATION with one another and that the government Sees, that the FAMILY is the MAIN RIVAL to its POWER and the family member that is the most rival to government power is THE FATHER. When the father is removed from the family, the government fills the ‘void’, it becomes the father, the husband, the ‘protector’, and they provide through welfare, through child support enforcements. So the Father is made REDUNDANT by the government and in some cases by private services. They now have enormous power and the source of their power is OUR CHILDREN.” –Professor of Political Science – Howard University - STEVEN BASKERVILLE

Divorce has been a DECEPTION. The government brought this in largely as a result of the “Sexual Revolution” of the sixties and divorce laws were changed to allow to what has been ADVERTISED as being. ‘DIVORCE BY MUTUAL CONSENT’. In fact this was a DECEPTION, what this provided for was DIVORCE ON DEMAND, unilateral divorce on demand where one party could CALL IN THE STATE and get a divorce OVER the objection of the other spouse, even when children and property were involved. What is ALLOWED was for one spouse to CALL IN THE STATE – AS AN ALLY to remove the other spouse from the home. Unilateral divorce is the government DESTROYING PRIVATE LIFE, the government destroying a private home. It involves inherently throwing people out of their homes and taking away their property and most serious of all taking away their children. It creates a huge vacuum that is an attack on private life. No home now is too private for the government to intervene by waving the flag of divorce.”- Professor of Political Science – Howard University- Steven Baskerville.

“It’s IMPORTANT to know what the JURISDICTION is to which you’re SUBJECT when you’re in ANY kind of proceedings. Now the jurisdiction for ‘TOBY THE SLAVE [STRAWMAN] is ALWAYS going to be LEGISLATIVE and if you ASSOCIATE yourself directly with the ‘defendant’ Toby the slave, what have you just done? You have VOLUNTARILY SUBMITTED yourself to the Jurisdiction of the LEGISLATURE of the state as a RELATIONSHIP and now that we have determined the relationship we can determine what your punishment is going to be. Do you UNDERSTAND now? And so EVERYBODY is volunteering into SLAVERY today.” – Jack Smith

“You see the Birth Certificate CREATED A VESSEL to do COMMERCE with and because of our LACK of knowledge we have ASSUMED the role of BENEFICIARY of that vessel RATHER than the TITLE HOLDER to that vessel. If we were the Title holder to that vessel then can we be a CREDITOR instead of a debtor. So in the act of re-certifying the Birth Certificate and returning it the META-MESSAGE is we’re assuming the ROLE OF CREDITOR. You OVER-WRITE the certificate with a NEW certificate and SURRENDER the certificate and you are then telling them by META-MESSAGE that you’re the creditor on the Property/VEHICLE. Once you RETURN the certificate you have RIGHT OF POSSESSION under the old common law. Remember that under the old common law whoever was the creditor could keep possession UNTIL the owner/operator PAYS you whatever it is that they owe you. You are holding a PRIORITY LIEN. How do you make your priority lien EFFECTIVE if you’re not writing it in a message? You HOLD actual possession of the property as an UNALIENABLE RIGHT to protect your Lien claim. You’re putting a lien on it by physically keeping it and WHEN would you give it up? When ever they SATISFY your lien! If you have it liened for a MILLION, then you tell them give me a million and I’ll give you the property. You are NOT possessing the property because you are the OWNER/OPERATOR because that’s for the DEBTOR. There may be other people that come and make claims against it (your property) as long as you keep acting as the creditor to discharge the claims, you’re the PRIORITY creditor and if you’re the priority creditor you have the UNALIENABLE RIGHT to the possession of the property UNTIL they satisfy the Lien claim” – Jack Smith

PRIVATE Business is carried out in Gold and Silver COIN but PUBLIC business is conducted in COMMERCIAL PAPER. Commercial paper, things like stocks, Bonds, Federal Reserve notes [Reserve Bank Notes] credit cards, debt and USURY.

But out in the REAL WORLD, in the market place there is a SEVEN to ONE in the purchasing power of Gold over ‘PAPER’ and there’s a SEVEN to ONE difference on the TAXES due on that paper over Gold. God and our founding fathers did not give us Gold and silver coin in our constitution for ‘no-good’ purpose.

They KNEW that as a MATTER OF LAW, Gold and Silver Coin is ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY for us to deal in, in order for us to ESTABLISH our PROPERTY RIGHTS (i.e. TRIAL BY JURY). [Isn't it amazing how one's "belief system" does NOT change or alter what the TRUTH is?]

Well neither did our Politicians take our Gold and Silver coin away from us for ‘no-good’ reason EITHER. They KNEW and they knew FULL WELL that in order to SUBJUCATE a ‘FREE

PEOPLE' they must first TAKE AWAY a people's Gold and Silver in order to take away people's property rights, to DESTROY those property rights

Now if YOU and I intend to regain our property rights, duties and CAPACITIES then we must FIRST regain our PROPERTY and property rights because PROPERTY is the LAWFUL FOUNDATION for all of our liberties." – George Gordon

PRIVATE Business is carried out in Gold and Silver COIN but PUBLIC business is conducted in COMMERCIAL PAPER. Commercial paper, things like stocks, Bonds, Federal Reserve notes [Reserve Bank Notes] credit cards, debt and USURY.

But out in the REAL WORLD, in the market place there is a SEVEN to ONE in the purchasing power of Gold over 'PAPER' and there's a SEVEN to ONE difference on the TAXES due on that paper over Gold. God and our founding fathers did not give us Gold and silver coin in our constitution for 'no-good' purpose.

They KNEW that as a MATTER OF LAW, Gold and Silver Coin is ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY for us to deal in, in order for us to ESTABLISH our PROPERTY RIGHTS (i.e. TRIAL BY JURY). [Isn't it amazing how one's "belief system" does NOT change or alter what the TRUTH is?]

Well neither did our Politicians take our Gold and Silver coin away from us for 'no-good' reason EITHER. They KNEW and they knew FULL WELL that in order to SUBJUCATE a 'FREE PEOPLE' they must first TAKE AWAY a people's Gold and Silver in order to take away people's property rights, to DESTROY those property rights

Now if YOU and I intend to regain our property rights, duties and CAPACITIES then we must FIRST regain our PROPERTY and property rights because PROPERTY is the LAWFUL FOUNDATION for all of our liberties." – George Gordon

'Now people who cannot contain themselves (with credit), they just can't wait are POOR! and they're poor because they just CAN'T wait.

A man who HAS money does NOT need credit. A man who has money goes out and buys something and he OWNS it and he doesn't need to GIVE up his PRIVATE and PERSONAL information in the process. - George Gordon.

“The AGENCIES are like a JURY in a 'true' court of law, they're FACT-FINDERS and their facts, when they're brought into that court, unless you can DISCREDIT THEIR facts, they stand as TRUE and they DON'T disclose that to you.

So how congress [Parliament] USURPS the executive power is they hire AGENTS of theirs, AGENCIES under congress [Parliament] and then they give [these agencies] statutory authority. Like the PLAGUE OF EGYPT they come upon the land, upon us and of course they don't DIVULGE their statutory authority and regulations under which they operate and because they are an AGENT, they HOLD NO OFFICE, there has not been any office with powers and duties,

so we don't control them and we can't fire them and they POUND upon us unmercifully. They issue liens WITHOUT JUDGEMENT and there's no place where you can put in 'true facts' because these people have already made up their minds on the facts. – Ralph Winterrowed

Please take note that the 'fact-finding' will work against someone who creates controversy and goes into argument over the 'agency facts'. It is best not to argue but to do your own 'fact-finding' and to bring in those facts via a private administrative framework and to privately submit your fact-finding efforts without argument or controversy on the 'private-side'. please keep in mind that all these agencies operate under "Public Policy" or the 'dead zone' where the facts are literally on the moon and you do not want to argue with a fiction, this is insane itself. The 'Privacy Review' officer would be one of the people who would be able to assist you of that particular agency as along as you co-operate without further controversy/argument. Allow them to make the determination based on your honourable actions in private, and request an 'Agency Review' & determination of the facts submitted as 'confidential commercial information'.

so we have TWO governments, one sitting INSIDE the constitution and one sitting OUTSIDE the constitution and the one 'on the inside' is a 'HOUSE' that NOBODY lives [OR OCCUPIES any OFFICE] in – there's NOBODY HOME!" – Ralph Winterrowed

“You know people say, Why don't they (Public Officials) take the right Oath? And the answer is THEY CAN'T! Because the people 'elected' to office in the State of North Carolina were NOT put there by “citizens of the state”, they were put there by FEDERAL PERSONS (Fictions). They CAN'T take a “Constitutional Oath, they can't HOLD the constitutional OFFICE because they were NOT put there by anybody who can put them there. They have taken STATUTORY OATHS and NOT Constitutional Oaths.” ED Whaler

Take Note, that this explanation above, would more than likely be the case and is being applied in OUR Federal "Hover zone" here in Australia as well, allowing for "Federal Persons" (State created Fictions of law) that are the "voters", thereby giving up birthright and perhaps power of attorney.

The Public Officers STILL DO TAKE the Constitutional Oaths Of Office. These are not now visible or disclosed to public persons due to the provisions of the Privacy Act which is only there to protect the living souls.

What is happening therefore is people are doing a FOIA Request [instead of a Privacy Act request] for the Constitutional Oaths Of Public Officers and so what they are getting is the Public Oath of that officer instead of the Constitutional Oath [which DOES exist] and therefore they are wrongly assuming and presming that the Constitutional Oaths have not been taken by those Public Officers.

All Public Officers [with very few exceptions] have a DUALITY of office - private and public such as attorneys [who are actually lawyers with Constitutional Oaths Of Office on the private side]. The Constitutional Oaths therefore only exist now in the private venue and jurisdiction and ARE NOT OPENLY DISPLAYED, REVEALED OR VISIBLE TO "public persons".

A Freedom Of Information Request is a PUBLIC venue and jurisdiction request therefore it naturally returns to you the incorrect Oath of Office [Public Oath instead of Constitutional Oath]. The Constitutional Oaths AUTOMATICALLY KICK IN when you are in honour and exhausting your private administrative process in the correct manner without arguing or creating any controversy.

The Constitutional Oaths are an agreement which already exists and do NOT have to be "officially accepted" as was once believed by many. These Constitutional Oaths Of Office kick in AUTOMATICALLY when you are proceeding correctly as the honourable living man or woman.

So many people are wrongly assuming and presuming that the Constitutional Oaths do not exist when in fact the problem is that they are making the wrong form of request [FOIA instead of Privacy Act] and in any case, you do not need to worry about the Constitutional Oaths as they kick in automatically when you are proceeding correctly. Claude Memma

“A ‘JURY TRIAL is an ‘Advisory’ Jury where all facts and issues, INCLUDING the verdict CAN be overturned by the Judge. A “TRIAL BY THE JURY’ according to the bill of rights and the Constitution, the decision of the jury is FINAL and CANNOT be appealed by any court of the land. So keep this in mind!” – M. Stone

“A NATURAL-PERSON is a person born OUT of wedlock. A PERSON is a JURISTIC person, an artificial person or a legal person such as a CORPORATION. Now a corporation is a creation created by the city, government, the STATE GOVERNMENT, are ALL corporations, they are ALL artificial PERSONS recognized by the law as capable of performing such LEGAL acts such as entering into a contract.

Now a NATURAL born PERSON is a person that is born OUT OF WEDLOCK and when you’re born out of wedlock you become a child of the state and a child of the state belongs to the state, NOT to the parents. So that’s where they get CONTROL over your BIRTH CERTIFICATE because your birth certificate says that you have a FATHER and a MOTHER and that her MAIDEN name, that means you’re born OUT of wedlock.– Mike Stone

“This is what is going to be SAVING humanity. We’re looking forward to HOSTING a ‘concert’. We’re looking for producers; we’re looking for musicians to RETUNE their instruments. STOP listening to the Roman Catholic DUMBED DOWN 440 TUNING (Frequency). It is in dissidence to nature, it is OUT OF SYNC with the CREATOR.

If we tune our instruments to 528 it is intimately connected to laughter, the sound that you make by nature, the THIRD NOTE of the musical scale – the MIRACLE NOTE like Do – Re – Mi. THE THIRD MIRACLE NOTE IS this 528 (Frequency).

The ENTIRE body is made from Tones, from when God SPOKE, creating the tones. I’ll give the best definition of what a ‘human being’ is, here is WHAT YOU ARE –

You are a DIGITAL BIO-HOLOGRAPHIC PRECIPITATION CRYSTILIZATION,  
MIRACULOUS MANIFESTATION OF DIVINE FREQUENCY VIBRATION – A Miracle made  
by the VOICE OF GOD! –

Dr Len Horowitz

DON'T BE NEGATIVE – LIFT UP YOUR HEADS, REDEMPTION IS NEAR

The ELITE realized that the fastest way to CREATE changes towards something is to DIRECT  
OPPOSING FORCES and if you don't have opposing forces then you CREATE THEM, by  
conflict creation and out of that conflict you have RESOLUTION or synthesis, same thing” –  
Alan Watt

FOR EXAMPLE; GLOBAL WARMING - AKA 'CLIMATE CHANGE'

“The man standing in front of the judge in the PRIVATE session ‘IN-CHAMBERS’ is NOT the  
man or the ‘PERSON’ that is found guilty of the crime. The PERSON found guilty of the crime  
is the ONE-MAN CORPORATION/Documentation held by the government and the  
governments DOES NOT hold documentation on LIVING people because living people  
BELONG to God and the government does NOT hold paperwork on LIVING people. WHY?  
Because the Government would be TRESPASSING on the Kingdom of God, what the  
government does is it holds paperwork on a WORLD OF FICTIONS and it trys to draw God's  
people in to THEIR world of fiction in spite of the fact that scripture says, “don't live with the  
Dead or Idolatry or false images.” – Jack Smith.

“Constitutionality is to do with PRIVATE RIGHTS for PEOPLE. The Criminal Code is PUBLIC  
POLICY as it applies to the FICTIONS and the JURISDICTION of the FEDERAL ZONES. The  
Constitution ONLY applies in the Republic which is the SEPARATE SOVEREIGN STATES.  
The CRIMINAL CODE applies to PERSONS.” – Jack Smith

“Now the reason that people are in jail is because they started becoming THE FICTIONS who  
were charged, they PLAYED THE ROLE. So the real men and women ACTED, on stage, as  
though THEY WERE RESPONSIBLE for the unpaid obligation.” – Jack Smith

"007, James 'BOND' of course means he's 'BONDED'. He swore an Oath to take a "BOND"  
from the "Bondsman" to take an Oath" - Alan Watt

“You have to think DIALECTICLY, you can't think on a linear, what is a more common sense  
linear fashion. They system operates on creating FALSE opposition, creating leaders that  
represent the ‘right-wing’ of the system and leaders representing the ‘left-wing’ of the system –  
SAME GOALS from different areas. If you think of these things dialectically it makes more  
sense in the world system than thinking in a simple linear fashion. - Matt Johnson

“You have to think DIALECTICLY, you can't think on a linear, what is a more common sense  
linear fashion. They system operates on creating FALSE opposition, creating leaders that  
represent the ‘right-wing’ of the system and leaders representing the ‘left-wing’ of the system –  
SAME GOALS from different areas. If you think of these things dialectically it makes more  
sense in the world system than thinking in a simple linear fashion. - Matt Johnson

“Now remember that LEGISLATIVE JURISDICTION means being subject to the District of Columbia -Congress [Parliament-Australia]. POLITICAL JURISDICTION means being under the powers that created the government to begin with, the LEGISLATURE of the government DID NOT create the government, the States and ‘THE PEOPLE’ created the “National/Federal Government.

So if you’re subject to POLITICAL JURISDICTION of the Federal Government then you’re subject to what the people in the States created, which you are subject to “The People” or the States, that’s the Political Jurisdiction. If you’re subject to the “Legislative Jurisdiction” of that Federal/National government then you’re subject to Congress [Parliament-Australia], which is an OXYMORON because a “living man” should NOT be subject to the thing that HE created.” – Jack Smith.

### Definitions

SUBJECT is a Slave

### Maxim of Law

"The Created (Legislature) CANNOT be Greater than the Creator (The people)"

" What the law requires, OFFENDS commonsense"

- George Gordon

“Those courts are NOT Constitutional courts; they’re ‘Administrative Courts’. They’re doing ADMIRALTY and EQUITY – WITHOUT the LAW side. These courts are NOT real courts all they are, are ADMINISTRATIVE COURTS and their under PRIVATE LAW CONTRACT” – Ralph Winterrowd.

In a DEMOCRACY either 51% of the people can take away the rights and property of the other 49% and vice versa, 49% of the people can take away 51% of the rights and PROPERTY of the people. In their foreign courts YOU HAVE NO RIGHTS! - Evidence of this is a "trial jury" - Notice how this is backwards?

"Let every man be subject to the HIGHER LIBERTY because liberty ONLY COMES FROM GOD, not man!"

“Consequently in the civil arena when they took lawful money out of existence which did “away” with the law. Now they can’t come out and TELL people that, because if they did then it would either lead to the PRESUMPTION OF LAWLESSNESS where anybody can get away with anything or it would lead to the presumption that government had NO POWER FOR CONTROL to keep people in line and all kinds of anarchy would break out but the REALITY IS that the Law WAS DONE AWAY with in terms of prosecutions and executions when they got rid of lawful money, but they put something in its place and what they put in its place was really punishment for CONTEMPT.

So NO LONGER can they punish for the crime but they punish for the ‘ATTITUDE’ that lead to the crime and that same attitude that leads towards REBELLION & LAWLESSNESS. Although they’re not prosecuting the crime itself they’re prosecuting the ‘ATTITUDE’ now and able to PUNISH people because they do not display the correct attitude. Remember that their prisons are called “Correctional Facilities”, they have to correct ‘your attitude’ not the ACT or the ACTION that you did.” – Jack Smith.&

What the actual translation of Romans 13 is, below, taken from the original text.

"Let every soul be subject to the HIGHER LIBERTY, for there is no LIBERTY but of God, so whoever resists this LIBERTY resists the ordinance of God". - Brother Gregory

Please note that the original text translates into the word "Liberty", not "authority" or "Power". as they want you to believe you must obey the govt. Please also note that NOT all governments are ordained of God. Romans 13 also applies to Civil Government.

The King James Bible .& was translated/interpreted by 50 Government appointed scholars.

The Mirror and the Bar

There are several types of jurisdiction, there is ‘TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION’ there is ‘SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION’ which is over the subject matter Territorial where the activities took place, there is PERSONAM as well. The ‘TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION’ which is known as ‘LEGISLATIVE JURSDICTION’ is the most pertinent, the highest and a priority sense.[Unless Land has been ceded to the government, unless the specific piece of property has been given to the government AND the Federal government has ACCEPTED the jurisdiction over that land, unless that has taken place, they DON’T have jurisdiction.] If they can please show us where they have the authority, the ‘TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION’ we would be more than happy to comply. We are more than happy to comply, just please show us where your ‘LEGISLATIVE JURISDICTION’ is and we would be more than happy to comply. A lot of the time the Federal government doesn’t want jurisdiction because with that comes LIABILITY. When an action is brought in one of the FIRST things that needs to be established is jurisdiction and they never did that, so anything they do beyond that is NULL & VOID from it’s beginning because they have to answer the issue of Jurisdiction” – David – “We’re all doing Time” [ Radio show]

Please note that they don't have jurisdiction over the flesh and blood man. It is only by your acts and actions that allows them this so-called jurisdiction over you. Who are you?

There are several types of jurisdiction, there is ‘TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION’ there is ‘SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION’ which is over the subject matter Territorial where the activities took place, there is PERSONAM as well. The ‘TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION’ which is known as ‘LEGISLATIVE JURSDICTION’ is the most pertinent, the highest and a priority sense.[Unless Land has been ceded to the government, unless the specific piece of property has been given to the government AND the Federal government has ACCEPTED the jurisdiction over that land, unless that has taken place, they DON’T have jurisdiction.] If they

can please show us where they have the authority, the ‘TERRITORIAL JURISDICTION’ we would be more than happy to comply. We are more than happy to comply, just please show us where your ‘LEGISLATIVE JURISDICTION’ is and we would be more than happy to comply. A lot of the time the Federal government doesn’t want jurisdiction because with that comes LIABILITY. When an action is brought in one of the FIRST things that needs to be established is jurisdiction and they never did that, so anything they do beyond that is NULL & VOID from it’s beginning because they have to answer the issue of Jurisdiction” – David – “We’re all doing Time” [ Radio show]

Please note that they don't have jurisdiction over the flesh and blood man. It is only by your acts and actions that allows them this so-called jurisdiction over you. Who are you?

“THE WHOLE TRUTH – Today there are, as there has always been in cities, enslaving forces that are at work. Today’s emerging Tyranny emanates from a NEW KING, from a NON LIVING POWER CENTER composed of at its core of monolithic Corporate entities that are all encased and protected by endless layers of government bureaucracies.

The primary strategy of the NEW KING is to ‘CONVERT ALL RIGHTS’, all of man’s energy, all of his Gold and at the last, EVERY individual into a commodity. The NEW KING is solely for COMMERCE, it’s life blood is money and it’s singular mission is PROFIT.

The NEW KING’S means of control is the media who then sell us the FALSE MYTHS of freedom but when we are in doubt, it assures us that we are free. They program us and our children to accept that the notion that all of man’s functions, all of man’s desires and PURPOSE and indeed even immortality itself can at the end, be satisfied and fulfilled somewhere at the marketplace of your very own making.” – Jerry Spence “From Freedom to Slavery”

“You can’t contract with Caesar. You have to get the people FREE of Caesar, you CANNOT sign up as a ‘REGISTERED VOTER’ and be a ‘citizen’ because when you have done that you have now taken on a CORPORATE IDENTITY with a CORPORATE ENTITY, a ‘Fiction in Law’ and you have agreed that ‘The legislature’ is the SOURCE of all your rights and the ‘creator’ is the source of everyone’s rights.

All of our unalienable rights come from our creator and you CANNOT sign a contract with Caesar and have “the Legislature” grant you all these immunities and privileges because that’s what we’re reaping today. We’re being destroyed from within because WE unknowingly and unwittingly have signed up with government to provide us all of these “Benefits” and of course the court has ruled that if you accept a benefit – YOU CAN’T BITCH!

Quit taking the benefit. When you sign up and you go in to ‘Vote’ for these people, unwittingly and unknowingly, when you signed up to “Register” to vote, you have signed up for who you want to ‘REPRESENT YOU’ to HAND DOWN your rights. When you sign up with the registered voters, you have WAIVED ALL OF YOU UNALIENABLE RIGHTS and in reality what you have done is you have WAIVED YOUR BIRTHRIGHT – you SOLD your Birthright.” – Ralph Winterrowed

“The World is RUN BY DECEPTION. Corporations RULE the world and your country is a Corporation. Your country is REGISTERED as a Corporation. The whole world is a big corporation registered under the United Nations and they have been giving us our “marching orders’ (Public Policy) for a long time and the United Nations work for the Big, Big Royal Bankers. A lot of these are called “Crown Corporations” and these Corporations deal with big things like energy and technology. So most of the big Corporations that you know of, the big brand names are actually run and owned by this “High Elite group’ including your ‘secret services’ – Alan Watt & Canada

"They are going to brainwash the public or 'educate' the public and they call it "raising public consciousness or awarness'. That means you are about to get a "thought' implanted or downloaded in your head' - A Packaged thought! - RFID to be implanted into your body!

“The giver of the law, of all beings, most owes the law allegiance. He of all men should behave as though the law compelled him. But it is the universal weakness of mankind that what we are given to administer we presently imagine we own” – H. G Wells

"Quote about those pesky "evil Judges"  
All Law is God's Law!

“The STATUS OF LIBERTY versus SLAVERY is the same universal. Aren’t the LEGISLATIVE CORPORATIONS (Courts) created for COMMERCIAL PROFIT?” So consequently what you are literally seeing is all of the Corporate Governments (in the entire world) under ‘Legislative Powers’ are CREATED FOR PROFIT under ADMIRALTY/MARITIME (Not Common law) in commerce. So what the hell does your unalienable rights have to do with Commerce because unalienable rights cannot be bought or sold because its OUT of the Commercial world and out of the Legislative control of the Legislative government, UNLESS you Volunteer!– Jack Smith.

**YOUR SILENCE IS CONSENT - MAKE NO MISTAKE!**

If the people respond and proceed like they want to ARGUE, FIGHT and GRANT JURISDICTION to a FICTION STATE, in personam, then what they have LITERALLY done is CONSENTED TO BE DEBTORS and the Judicial system, under the principle of "Likes attract" will agree for the man to be a DEBTOR and sentence him, AS THOUGH there was a law. You don't want to argue with a LUNATIC that wants to be treated like he's a criminal. If he wants to be treated like a criminal because he CONSENTED/CONFESSED by his "Procedural process" [of arguing the facts] lets give him his wish. Even if he is schizophrenic and says, "I didn't volunteer!" Because that's what happens, people VOLUNTEER by their acts but their minds tell them they didn't volunteer. So are they Schizophrenic? Are they Dual minded? They act one way and then "think and talk" another way - Jack Smith.

If you owe anybody money, you are public. The private owes no money to anybody, as they are the source of the money. This means that when you act in COMMERCE and you accidentally make an offer, you have to provide a cheque or money order (Remittance slip or Payment slip) in order to provide the other party a remedy.

The ACCEPTING party must be able to pass through this way: a Cheque is a three party instrument, you are telling [A] to pay [B]. This is a pass through account because they have to use your name as the drawer of the funds to provide the money to be moved from [A] to [B] and this cannot happen unless you are in the middle.

That is why when a person WON'T accept, and provide a remedy, they LOOSE THEIR EXEMPTION/COMMERCIAL ENERGY with you because you can't pass through their account to get their exemption and when they don't let you pass through their account to get paid, they lose their exemption/Commercial energy until they SETTLE with you.

This means you get a letter, either demanding something from you (A public acceptance which provides no remedy) or an acceptance letter of your action (A Request or an Acceptance For Value) both of which are trying to use your name to get their remedy. When it is accepted, the claim made against you was returned to pay for itself because we live under PUBLIC POLICY; you cannot be obligated to pay. The most that we can do is ACCEPT (For Value) the paper (presentment) as though it had value and turn it back on itself (Return For Value) because that is the extent of the obligation that PUBLIC POLCY allows.

When you ACCEPT and OFFER, the OFFERER must also allow it to pass through his account by HIS acceptance of YOUR acceptance, when he has technically accepted a bill drawn against you and returned it to you for negotiation. Now that Both parties have accepted what has happened, neither party owe each other anything because the original acceptor returned the claim for full settlement and the offeror accepted the return.

The debt has been effectively redeemed. When a person continues to DISHONOUR, he is not allowing his exemption to pay for the request and they don't settle with you, they become PUBLIC (Under Public Policy).

It is all based around Public Policy; bottom line is WE CANNOT BE OBLIGATED TO PAY A DEBT (Although it is a responsible thing to accept in order to setoff a debt). The most we can do is right up to payment, which means ACCEPTANCE and RETURN. You have to do all you can (i.e. acceptance & Return) and then after that mercy comes in being grace because it is your exemption that makes the payment. It is your inability to pay that pays for it.

### The Last Train to Redemption

The ultimate fate of this nation rests with the people. We will see either a change in the collective morals of this nation and a return to limited government and a commitment to Biblical principles or we can remain prideful and recalcitrant, in which event the Eternal will simply allow the dragon of old to determine our fate. And that fate will be as unpleasant as the devil that hates us can make it.....

Quote on dealing with a 'Presentment' or 'charge' in the courtroom.

“Your Honor, I have accepted that FOR VALUE, I have returned it to you for SETTLEMENT. Now are you going to RE-'FUSE' the charge? Are you going to RE-POWER the “charge”. I have

discharged it, are you going to 'RE-CHARGE' it? How many times do we need to do this your honour?" – Sam Davis

“On this planet there are only TWO things, the EARTH and WATER – PERIOD! And consequently there are only TWO kinds of laws on the earth. There is the LAW OF THE LAND and the LAW OF WATER. Most people have heard the term 'Law of the Land' and they just ASSUME that it's the phrase that is used to represent the laws governing our country.

LAW OF THE LAND is a term used in MARITIME-ADMIRALTY COMMERCE; these are very, very important words, 'Law of the Land' as OPPOSED to 'Law of the Water' the 'Law of the Sea' – TWO TOTALLY DIFFERENT LAWS.' – Jordan Maxwell

“Since you are a BIO-ELECTRICAL UNIT and you're over 90% water, YOU ARE by law, referred to as a MARITIME-ADMIRALTY PRODUCT. You're a tub of water and consequently you're a BIOLOGICAL UNIT or if you get into trouble financially, you're in what we call 'HOT WATER' and therefore somebody has to 'BAIL' you out and if you don't get 'BAILED OUT', like any other battery you're going to sit in a 'CELL' and consequently you begin to see how the words are used IN COMMERCE and law and it's fascinating how much we don't know. People go to court everyday and have NO IDEA, why you call it 'COURT'. You play basketball and tennis on a 'Court'. The whole idea of court is to put the ball back into the other guy's court.

“Why do you have a BANK and where do you find a Bank? Well first of all when you go to 'COURT' you have the Judge who rules from the Bench. Do you know what the word Bench means in Latin? The word Bench is a BANK and so therefore where do you find Banks? You find Banks on both sides of a river called a 'River Bank'. What does a river bank do? It DIRECTS the flow of the 'CURREN-CY'. And the people in the world have NO IDEA what's going on.

- Jordan Maxwell -----[Pioneer in exposing the New World Order and Pre-eminent Scholar and Reseacher in the Study of Secret Societies]

“If you do not approach the KINGDOM OF HEAVEN as a 'CHILD' you WILL NOT enter therein.”

– Jack Smith.

'Lawyers and government agents alike cannot lay any claim without first drawing you in to a dishonorable position of argument and conflict.-

“It might be interesting to sign the document with the name and either before or after the signature say, 'WITH RIGHT TO SETOFF OR CLOSE THIS ACCOUNT WITHOUT ADDITIONAL PENALTY AT ANY TIME' For instance if you go out and you get a loan for either a car or a house, sometimes in the CONTRACT you can set up a CLAUSE which means that you can PRE-PAY the contract at any time without a penalty clause. 'It may require some further questions but notwithstanding if you have the RIGHT to PRE-PAY the contract at any time, it should tell these people colourably what you're intending to do– Jack Smith & &

“HERE’S A PRESUMPTION – A bonded promissory note or bill of exchange was GIVEN or ENDORSED for a SUFFICIENT CONSIDERATION. Now what that means is that if you have given promissory note, it was for a SUFFICIENT CONSIDERATION. The question then is ‘Do you, the opposing counsel, have any evidence to the contrary? You know you CAN’T say that you didn’t receive a letter (through the mail) because it is PRESUMED that the letter, DULY directed and mailed was received. Now (this would place you in a position whereby) you have to produce evidence to the contrary.’ - Sam Davis & & &

HERE’S ANOTHER PRESUMPTION – A Person is the same person if the name is identical. Now most of us are familiar with the STRAWMAN. The name is IDENTICAL, phonetically speaking, the spelling of the name is exactly the same (Only upper case is used), but the PRESUMPTION is that a PERSON is the same PERSON if the name is identical, that is the presumption. This is an insight of what the system is trying to do and what it trying to say and its just the way that they system is working. If we KNOW and UNDERSTAND these things then we can take the questions that arise out of these statements or presumptions and we can TURN THEM AROUND and say with some knowledge, authority and confidence, “here is my bonded promissory note which I have tendered in and for ‘SUFFICIENT CONSIDERATION’. If you are going to reject that note on the basis that it’s not a ‘SUFFICIENT CONSIDERATION’, what evidence do you have that says that its’ not?’ that is and becomes the relevant question.’ – Sam Davis &

WHAT IS A LICENCE? – If we were on the ‘Law of the Land’ and commerce was purviewed through the ‘Law of the Land’, we would need NO licence. Before the place called ‘THIS STATE’ existed, a licence was unheard of, and why do we have a licence? Because the ‘Activity’ is presumed to be in a place called ‘this state’. ‘This state’ exists in, and as a ‘STATE OF EMERGENCY’. We can call ‘this state’ the STATE OF EMERGENCY and it’s called a ‘PLACE’, a ‘STATE OF EMERGENCY’. It’s a description and a ‘Place’. In this emergency, what is the basis? THE NON EXISTENCE OF AN HONEST SYSTEM OF WEIGHTS & MEASURES!

We have a ‘FUNNY MONEY SYSTEM’ and therefore an emergency. Now in this condition of emergency, guess what happens? An “Executive Authority’ to regulate Commerce. Guess what the Licence is? A PERMIT to Engage in Commerce in the place called, ‘this state’.  
Harmon Taylor [Former Bar Attorney]

“The fact is when a “church’ INCORPORATES, they’re NO LONGER classified as a church, according to the IRS, they are classified as a “Religious Organization’ and the IRS specifically tells them that there is a distinction between a ‘Religious Organization’ and a ‘Church’. Even in Black’s Law Dictionary it defines a Church as established by Jesus Christ. If you look at Corporate Law and you incorporate to the state, all OTHER previous incorporations are as if it never existed. You see, as soon as you do that YOU CUT CHRIST OFF- BY LAW!’

Churches are AUTOMATICALLY exempt, ‘Religious Organizations’ are not exempt but if you go and ‘Incorporate’ you have just become a ‘Religious Organization’ that LIKES to call itself a Church– Brother Gregory – Brother Gregory

DEFINITION: INSTANT CHRISTIAN - They actually DON'T repent, you just 'add water'  
"Let Every Soul be SUBJECT to the Higher Liberty".

One of the ways that you OVERCOME a presumption is with an AFFIDAVIT and an Affidavit stands as a fact UNTIL it is controverted by someone else. One of the things to UNDERSTAND is that EVERYTHING that goes on IS A PRESUMPTION. It is the BASIC UNDERSTANDING that even the Jurisdiction of the court is a PRESUMPTION. The presumption is BORNE OUT OF YOUR ACTIONS.' – Sam Davis

‘Can the CREATED (LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY) be GREATER than the CREATOR? (THE PEOPLE).

And it is PRESUMED by the Created that THEY are the CREATOR when in fact they are NOT. One thing that we have to understand about that is they ONLY have power that YOU grant them. The government only has the power that YOU VOLUNTARILY give up to them.’ –Sam Davis  
STUDY 'HOW TO OVERCOME A PRESUMPTION'.

‘A PRESUMPTION is an inference IN FAVOUR of a particular fact. The PRESUMPTION is a RULE OF LAW, Statutory or Judicial by way of a finding of a basic fact gives rise to the existence of a PRESUMED fact UNTIL THE PRESUMPTION IS REBUTTED.’ [Law Dictionary Definition] – Sam Davis

Please note that the above definition comes out of a Law Dictionary and is important to understand. 152-B (Next Email) will also be critical, Keep these as part of your notes.

‘DISPUTABLE PRESUMPTION [Defined] – A Species of Evidence that may be ACCEPTED and acted upon when there is NO other evidence to uphold the contention for which it stands and when evidence is introduced supporting such contention, evidence WILL TAKE THE PLACE OF the presumption.’-

In other words I consider EVERYTHING a ‘DISPUTABLE PRESUMPTION’ and whatever the government or any agency is putting forth IS ALWAYS A DISPUTABLE PRESUMPTION and it can be accepted and acted upon when there is NO other evidence to the CONTRARY, BUT when evidence is introduced supporting MY CONTENTION, that it is a ‘DISPUTABLE PRESUMPTION’, my evidence TAKES THE PLACE of the presumption.

In other words, my evidence becomes THE FACTS OF THE CASE. By our actions and evidence that WE PUT FORTH, into the court we can OVERCOME that ‘Disputable Presumption’. And so EACH document and EACH action that WE take is designed NOT to talk about the ‘MERITS OF THE CASE’ but is designed to talk about ‘THE PRESUMPTIONS’ that are the DEFECTS in the case.

If you TALK about the ‘MERITS OF THE CASE’ you are GOING TO LOSE and lose automatically. It’s the MERITS of the matter that bypasses the ‘issues of the defects’ in the case.

And so we ALWAYS want to take the position that EACH CASE FAILS at its first defect. We want to stay WITHIN the parameters of THE DEFECTS of the case and it’s with those defects

that we can ultimately prevail, if we stay on OUR ISSUES and not TRAVERSE onto the merits of the case.’ We NEVER ARGUE the merits; we also talk about OVERCOMING the presumptions.

Just KEEP IN MIND that everything out there is a presumption, a ‘DISPUTABLE PRESUMPTION’ and one that CAN be rebutted and when PROPERLY done it’s that rebuttal that WILL prevail’ – Sam Davis &

“The BIGGEST issue is MONEY. God’s people don’t need money, money pays DEBTS and sin is a debt and that debt was ALREADY paid by the Messiah and if the debt was already paid, God’s people DON’T need money for ANYTHING anymore.

It’s only the HEATHENS and the PAGANS that need money. God say’s that WHEN THE TIME IS RIGHT for you people to understand to know WHAT THE REMEDY IS, you’ll get it. I think that SLOWLY but SURELY God is teaching HIS REMNANT PEOPLE what this process is so that when the time is absolutely right the REST of the pieces will fall into place and we’ll know what the remedy is.’ – Jack Smith

‘What the government official or lawyer said is absolutely true. If the Birth Certificate is used for IDENTIFICATION he implied there is NO CASH VALUE associated with it whatsoever. It’s absolutely true because THERE IS NO CASH VALUE on the liability side and your using it for identification ONLY because it IDENTIFIES THE ‘PERSON/STRAWMAN’ and that means that if you are producing the Birth Certificate in RESPONSE to a government solicitation, it’s basically to IDENTIFY or tell the government that I am COMING FROM THE LIABILITY SIDE of the account and I have NOT got control OVER the Security represented BEHIND the certificate because the government and the private bankers have control over that. I just want to identify myself as a DEBTOR-OWNER-OPERATOR and USER of the account and NOT one who’s in CONTROL but if you ACCEPT the birth certificate FOR VALUE as a certificate and RE-ISSUE it BACK to the government again, is your intent on identifying yourself as a debtor or BECOMING A CREDITOR by putting a priority lien on the security? It’s the latter, if you are doing an ACCEPTANCE and a RETURN; you are basically stating that you are INVERTING THE RELATIONSHIP and returning the Birth certificate in a process which identifies me as a ‘LIEN-HOLDER’ against the VALUE in anyone of your accounts with respect to this ‘entity’, this Corporation which the government holds the paperwork on. So you are NOT accepting and turning it in because you are identifying yourself, you are accepting and turning it in because you are basically CREATING A ‘LIEN-HOLD’ position identifying yourself AS A CREDITOR with a ‘Lien’’, not an identity to use the account for government issued BENEFITS that are only distributed to the strawman, the Person or the Corporation that the account represents.’ – Jack Smith

This Quote dedicated to those that want to go into court and 'challenge jurisdiction'.

“THE JUDGE IS GOD! Why is the Judge God in the courtroom? Because he is the ONLY one who can DECLARE who is the Winner! So you go into court and the JUDGE –IS – GOD.

I don't think you want to PISS off God. Why is the judge God? He is the ONLY one who can DECLARE the winner in the court, in the proceeding.

YOUR OPPONENT IS NOT YOUR OBSTACLE – Why is your opponent NOT your obstacle. Remember that your opponent is NOT your obstacle. Why is that VERY CRITICAL to understand that very issue in and of itself and why is that CRITICAL in the courtroom?

Number one it's NOT personal but because the POWER to win IS IN YOUR OWN HANDS, not in your opponents hands. YOU are your own obstacle. Why is your opponent NOT your obstacle? Because your opponent is a mere DISSENTING VOICE to the truth! What is the purpose of the courtroom? To seek the Truth! Now if truth is on your side and you're not the criminal and you're not the DEBTOR, how can you lose? Shoot yourself in the foot, that's the only way you can lose. If you're the CREDITOR is your opponent an obstacle to prevailing? NO! Not at all. – NOTICE WHAT HOLLYWOOD IS TELLING YOU! Number one is that you DID NOT do enough research to learn the facts and the truth or number two, you are UNABLE or UNWILLING or UNKNOWING to write a PROPER 'argument' so that the court can 'see' the evidence and the truth that you bring to the proceeding so that the judge can AWARD the victory to YOUR side.' – Jack Smith

Here's the FIRST element of economics, everybody talks about SUPPLY & DEMAND. What is demand? Demand is NOTHING MORE than the 'money' in your pocket.

If you don't have money to go to the store and buy your loaf of bread, there is NO DEMAND. So you CAN'T make a demand whether it be for a want or a basic need' So you have to have some 'PURCHASING POWER', some money and we have to have some 'wealth' to EXCHANGE for it and THAT is economics and it still all starts with the RAW MATERIALS and the LABOUR.' – Randy Cook – Sound Economics

'The BIG SECRETS is, is how the system really works and how you can use and BENEFIT from the system and the system is a form of predation; it's a PREDATORY SYSTEM which lives off the multitudes (Sheople) below.

That's how this system really works and those that they call the PROFANE, those that are LIVING IN THE DARK, the "Unenlightened" are there TO BE USED and you profit from them by using them as every psychopath should.

It's run on a scientific basis with an understanding of human nature and if you understand human nature you can completely exploit it for your own benefit and that's what these scientists are based on, exploitation of human nature because unfortunately the people want to 'look up' to someone who is better than themselves.

All the advertising you will see on television or in the glossy magazines is AIMED at making you UNHAPPY with who you are. The COMMERCIAL SYSTEM is based on making you UNHAPPY with yourself– Alan Watt – Cutting through the Matrix

What does the government strive to do? Create STRIFE & CONFUSION. They want to 'WRECK' your mind. They don't want you to think and comprehend under the PROPER rules HOW TO GET A REMEDY.

That's what they're doing to the Patriots, their minds are all CRAP FULL of presumptions that they have to have a 'Common law Remedy' in an ADMIRALTY-MARITIME court and since their minds are so SCREWED UP, they DON'T have a righteous mind, they DON'T get a remedy because their bodies might be strong but their mental thinking is FULL OF CRAP.'

'You GRANT the court 'IN-PERSONAM' jurisdiction when you ARGUE with them because now you have made a 'GENERAL APPEARANCE' to the 'MERITS' of the case.

The court ALREADY has jurisdiction over the defendant (In-Rem Jurisdiction) (Because it is a State created corporate entity) and you're acting 'in-harmony' with the defendant (When you argue), so now whatever befalls onto the defendant in terms of Judgement, falls ON YOU by VOLUNTARY CONSENT.' – Jack Smith

This quote below is ABSOLUTELY CRITICAL to understand. It encompasses all property that is registered into the Public world of Fiction, including your children.

'They CAN'T EXECUTE against PRIVATE PROPERTY when it's NOT registered in the public. When it's in the PUBLIC, the public had the Title. When they have the Title they have 'REM' jurisdiction. When they don't have the Title they don't have 'REM' jurisdiction and NO JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL over property and cannot sell it.

Do you UNDERSTAND? There are TWO WORLDS here in what's going on? They are separate UNLESS you convey from YOUR world of reality, all of YOUR ASSETS into THEIR world by registration which CONVEYS TO THEM Legal Title therefore they have POWER OF ATTORNEY over that property, if they come against the strawman.' – Jack Smith

WHY PEOPLE WOULD NOT 'WIN' with this 'Private Administrative Process'.  
– People get the process done and then they 'THROW THEIR PRIVATE JUDGEMENT BACK INTO THE PUBLIC FOR RE-DETERMINATION' rather than to put it in there, PROCEDURALLY so that the Magistrate is going to act in the capacity of a 'MINISTERIAL REVIEW OFFICER' instead of Judicial.

Chances are what happens is that people are brought into it in such a way that they get 'emotionally involved' and START an ARGUMENT again.' – Jack Smith

'Banks do not own ANYTHING, banks LIEN everything. When are you going to STOP OWNING it and start 'LIENING' it because if you are 'Owning' it you are a debtor. If you are LIENING it you are a creditor. If your strawman wants to own it then let him do his thing, but YOU had better be RECORDING A LIEN. If you are NOT recording a Lien then you are NOT IN CONTROL of the asset.' – Jack Smith

'TITLES ARE SPLIT when it comes to a Trust. You have got 'LEGAL TITLE' and 'EQUITABLE TITLE'. A 'LIEN HOLD INTEREST' is LEGAL TITLE and

ownership/Equitable interest is just EQUITY OWNERSHIP Title. The HIGHER TITLE is the LEGAL TITLE, the Trustee position, not the owner/equity use Title which is a BENEFICIAL INTEREST position.

If you can have an Allodial Title as though it were ON THE LAND you can have BOTH Titles residing in the SAME PARTY but under THEIR system the presumption is, that condition does NOT exist and the reason that it doesn't exist is because in the PUBLIC DOMAIN there is NO LAWFUL MONEY. It takes LAWFUL MONEY to have Allodial title. Since you do NOT have lawful money you cannot exchange SUBSTANCE FOR SUBSTANCE therefore you cannot have an allodium in the property receipts by PRESUMPTION in the public domain. So that in the public domain the best you can have is a LIEN HOLD INTEREST. If YOU as a living man filed a LIEN and your strawman was the 'Owner', is that NOT the equivalent to Allodial Title? Because to them it's the same person's name, but the living man on the UCC Filing records a 'Lien Hold' and the strawman in the County recorders office claims an "Ownership". So haven't you got in the same name, both sides of the Title but COLOURABLY its split as to appear in Admiralty which is in harmony with their system! – HAVING FUN YET? – Jack

'My people fail because of LACK OF KNOWLEDGE and when you are REGISTEREING all of these Titles, aren't you really SELLING YOUR INHERITANCE just like Esau

The BIG 'FOUNDATIONS' are 'FRONT' organizations for the 'GLOBAL ELITE'.

You are meant to think that they are just there for 'Charity' and that they only want to do 'good deeds' for the public, that's WHY they were set up under a 'CLOAK OF CHARITY'.

Charity has been used by 'Brotherhoods' for hundreds and hundreds of years. So nothing has changed, these techniques are VERY, VERY old and we have them TODAY.' – Alan Watt – Cutting through the Matrix

'There's LEGAL TITLE and there's EQUITABLE TITLE. The 'Legal Title' would and could be held by whoever can prove that they have a RIGHT to hold 'Legal Title'. 'Equitable Title' is given as a 'COLOUR OF TITLE' to whomever ASSUMES to be acquiring any property be the use of the 'DE-FACTO' money (Legal Tender). Remember that 'De-facto' money is not lawful money, it's actually not money at all, but it's used AS A MONEY because all it does is it PROMISES to pay but it NEVER pays and (No Ticky, No Wash), If you don't pay substance you DON'T acquire substance – you get what you pay for!

So since you paid with a promise then the Title comes with a 'Future promise', maybe. The END RESULT is that the one who buys property in this commercial environment is ONLY getting a 'COLOURABLE TITLE' because he NEVER presumably gave substance and because of that the 'Owner' has merely an 'EQUITABLE INTEREST'. So the government steps in and ASSUMES 'Legal Title' to ALL property under the premise that they are going to act in the capacity as a TRUSTEE to hold it 'In Interest' for whomever the Legal Title holder may be, if he ever shows up and PROVES A CLAIM.

So Since 1933 the government has been ‘Legal Title Holder’ on all property. You, the ‘owner’ or ‘Purchaser/Buyer’ is MERELY an ‘Equitable Interest Party’. The “Legal Title holder’ has more POWER than the ‘Equitable Title holder’ has. The ‘Legal Title holder’, the Trustee, the government can assume duties such as controlling Rules & regulations over which the property is used, maintained and disposed of, which is why the government always writes these ordinances and statutes for land use, automobile use, health use because after all YOUR BODY is purchased too.

And so EVERYTHING in the society since 1933 is ‘LEGALLY CONTROLLED’ by the State, under the presumption that the state is the Trustee because the ‘REAL OWNER’ has not shown up, because if he did he has to be able to PROVE LAWFUL OWNERSHIP and unless he can show that he acquired it with substance, he can’t prove it. The whole nation then became a DEMOCRACY and from Capitalism to Socialism, because after all if Title is in the name of the State instead of the name of the individual owners or Title holders then that’s basically Socialistic/Communitic, as a POLICY.’ – Jack Smith